



INTPA-NEAR Environment & Climate Week

Supported by







Consortium partners



Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations





European Union

ROGRAMME

SWM Programme

- Organisation of African, Caribbean and Pacific States (OACPS) initiative (2017-2024)
- Budget €52 million: Funded by the European Union (EU), with co-funding from the French Facility for Global Environment (FFEM) and the French Development Agency (AFD).
- Implemented by a consortium partnership (FAO, CIFOR, CIRAD, WCS)

Working in 15 African, Caribbean and Pacific countries



SWM Community Rights-Based Approach (CRBA)



- 1) Empower IPLCs (rights-holders) to claim and exercise their rights
- 2) Strengthen the capacity of actors (duty-bearers) who have a particular obligation or responsibility to respect, protect and fulfil IPLCs rights
- **3)** Ensure no-harm is created to any individuals or group the SWM engages with, instead to contribute to promote and fulfil their human rights.



Tools and Methodologies

Community rights-based approach

Social safeguards





CRBA in details

- Human rights and causality analysis (Civil Rights and Freedoms; Economic, social and cultural rights; Rights of specific persons/groups)
- Patterns of Analysis (Rights-holders and Duty-bearers)
- Capacity gap analysis (capacity gaps of the rights-holders)
- Partnership analysis (capacity gaps of the duty-bearers)
- Identification of project's responses to capacity gaps





Free Prior and Informed Consent

FREE

• Communities take decisions freely and have not been pressured, deceived or forced to decide or agree to proposals made by external agencies

PRIOR

• External agencies provide communities with all necessary prior information in adequate time, including notice of when they will consult with the community

INFORMED

• External agencies have the obligation to tell the truth about their plans, including all positive/negative effects that could result from the programme. Communities must be given adequate time and provided with all the information they need in the appropriate languages, and in a clear format they can understan

CONSENT

• The right of communities to say "yes" or "no" to a proposal. External agencies must respect the communities' customary processes for decision-making. External agencies must accept from the very outset that a legitimate outcome of consultation process may include a community's rejection of their proposal



Steps of the FPIC process

et continuous learning



1. Selection of communities	2. Initiation and sensitization of selected communities to the FPIC process	W	entification, together ith communities, of sion-making processe	processes	4. Participatory consultation processes with communities, definition of project activities	
	5. Project planning and adaptation to reach agreement on adaptive managem et continuous learning		6. Participatory monitoring and evaluation	7. Review of achievements and lessons learnt		



Result 1

Improve institutional and legal frameworks

CRBA in the theory of change on the Legal Result

Community Rights-Based Approach (including Free, Prior and Informed Consent)

Improving access to information about, and understanding of the sources of law

Sources of law are identified and legal documents are widely accessible and disseminated

Strengths and weaknesses of the existing legal frameworks are identified thanks to specific diagnostic tools and methodologies

Strengths and weaknesses are reflected in a baseline report for each SWM Programme country Ensuring the participation of all stakeholders in the lawmaking process

Public consultation to ensure embedding of social needs

Working groups bringing together scientists and legal experts to ensure embedding of technical knowledge linked to SWM Programme site-specific model

> Tailored consultations with IPLC to ensure embedding of traditional knowledge

Removing legal and institutional barriers to sustainable wildlife management

Legal frameworks are updated/improved in a participatory process

Enforcement of legal frameworks is improved by multi-stakeholder involved

Functioning customary laws/practices are recognized by statutory laws



Ny sakaton'ny mponina izay miaina eo akaikin'ny Valanjavaboahary Makira dia miankina amin'ny fihazàna sy ny jono

Access to Information

Innovative tools and methodologies





Ensuring participation of all





MATIANANE. Was descend one emboral with the formula existence of the formula links. New





Removing Legal and Institutional barriers

- In **Madagascar**, the legal analysis has led to the revision process of Ordinance No. 60-126 on the hunting, fishing and wildlife protection regime in the country, through a multi-stakeholders legal working group.
- In **Republic of the Congo**, the legal analysis has allowed for the production of technical note to assist the multi-stakeholder legal working group on the drafting of the wildlife law.
- In **Guyana** the legal analysis are contributing to the drafting of inland fisheries and aquaculture regulations, as part of a process supported by the SWM programme.
- In **Zimbabwe** the legal analysis has strongly informed the drafting of the Parks and Wildlife bill by external partners
- In **DRC** the legal analysis will inform the drafting of a wildlife use policy and further on of a new wildlife law as part of a multi-stakeholders exercice.
- In **Botswana**, the legal analysis have triggered the drafting of a Community Based Natural Resources Management bill, including widespread national consultations;

SWM programme

Thank You!



