

**PROGRAMME STEERING COMMITTEE OF 29 MARCH 2012
UNDER ENRTP STRATEGIC COOPERATION AGREEMENT**

MEETING NOTES

1. OPENING

- Representative of DG ENV, Mr. Hugo Schally

Introduced himself and informed that he would leave the meeting at 11.30. He invited the participants to a tour de table including UNEP and MEAs participants connected via videoconference.

Welcomed this second PSC meeting and marked satisfaction of the outcomes of first PSC meeting of Dec.2011 from DG Env side but eager to move on governance and DG DEVCO.

- Head of UNEP Office for Operations, Mr. Christophe Bouvier

Marked his satisfaction of the results of the first PSC meeting. He outlined the importance of consolidating the authority of the PSC as the expression of high-level commitments existing between the two institutions and the need to move forward with funding of some projects approved by the EC.

- Representative of DG DEVCO, Mr. Paul Renier

Said he is glad about the process of improving coordination and cooperation between the institutions. He recalled that many projects were to be discussed, some fine-tuning is to be done and highlighted the need for the PSC participants, to receive the information in view of the PSC meeting in a timely manner.

Provisional Agenda was approved.

2. GOVERNANCE

- Preliminary remarks

- Hugo Schally – DG ENV had entered into the SCA development process with a long term perspective but limit of 2013 (new Multi-annual Financial Framework 2014-2020) for funding commitments. From a policy point of view, DG ENV expected that this process would prove its value and contribute to an enhanced collaboration in the long term resulting in its continuation within the new MFF 2014-2020.
- Paul Renier – Limitation to 2013 for the process but the SCA is a basis for a way to work in the future. The way to work in the next MFF is still to be clarified.
- Christophe Bouvier – Timelines are matching as UNEP PoW is ending in 2013 and UNEP is working on the Medium-term Strategy (2014-2017). The new draft MTS can be shared with the EC and is already with Ms Bettina Lorz, representative of the EU Delegation in Kenya.
- Frank Moser, representative of the Chemicals Conventions (Basel, Rotterdam, Stockholm) – Explained that i) Proposed way to access SCA funding is not acceptable to them and there is a need for a special procedure for transfer of funds to MEAs' Voluntary Trust Funds to legally comply with MEAs' rules and to allow them to report on SCA funding to their COPs; ii) Currently activities and partners are jeopardized due to delay in accessing funding; iii) Access to Programme support costs is also an outstanding issue.
- Juan Carlos Vasquez, representative of CITES – Stated that CITES is in similar position – serious concerns about financial operations.
- Christophe Bouvier – Said that there is no one size fits all solution and UNEP will need to look at each MEA Secretariat. A technical solution will be proposed in early April to allow a consolidated reporting to the EC and ensure that the MEAs Secretariats can report to their COPs.

Head of OfO also stated that although UNEP status might change in Rio, the nature of the cooperation with the EC will survive any change.

- Governance structure
- Sylvie Motard, UNEP Senior Policy Officer – Presented the Governance Structure developed on the basis of the terms of reference of the PSC and of the PMU approved at the first PSC meeting outlining the latest changes. She indicated the need to receive guidance from the PSC on the reporting and financial management to finalize the Governance structure.
- Jill Hanna, Adviser of Timo Makela, Director for International affairs, LIFE & Eco-innovation in DG ENV – Indicated that it was impossible to agree on the governance document, given that the MEA Secretariats were raising issues that 1) the current draft did not address financial issues (chapter 3.8 was empty) and 2) there were concerns among MEA Secretariats over the compatibility of UNEP's financial proposals with their own Financial Rules as adopted by the COP's.
- Hugo Schally – Mentioned that the Governance structure is a living document, however there are outstanding issues:
 - Section 3.4 – Does not agree with the groups: it should be made clearer that Groups 1 (fully approved) and 2 (conditionally approved) are for projects that can go forward to next stage whereas Groups 3 (of interest to the EC) and 4 (not a priority for the EC) are not eligible for next stage. It was suggested to hold a further meeting on governance, preferably once UNEP and the MEAs had reached a common view
 - Section 3.6 – NB – DG ENV introduced the possibility to request and receive additional reporting to support its policy
 - Section 3.8 Trust Fund – Financial management – UNEP needs to complement that section in accordance with the UN financial regulations and rules, as well as financial rules of CITES and Chemicals Conventions. The CBD Secretariat was invited to look again at financial management since its rules are similar to those of other MEAs.
- Frank Moser from Chemicals Conventions: Very concerned by the process, very delayed. Not satisfied with Section 3.8 – Trust Fund – Financial management as not filled yet. Chemicals Conventions cannot accept the document as it stands.
- Paul Renier: Comment on groups of decisions in Section 3.4: Suggested to follow decisional groups as per internal DEVCO Quality Support Group process
 - Category A corresponds to Groups 1 and 2: PSC decides to go forward; action can be developed in principle. Possibility to have adoption through written procedure, and
 - Category A Group 1: Project concept note is approved and project is selected for funding under the SCAs → Agreement on development of full-fledged proposal
 - Category A Group 2: Project concept note is approved in principle but still there are some aspects that need to be address → Technical discussions at the level of UNEP project managers or relevant MEA's Secretariat and European Commission project managers in view of finalizing project concept note and directly moving to the full-fledged proposal after approval through written procedure;
 - Category B corresponds to Groups 3 and 4: Not go forward, no decision taken.
→ Suggestion to structure PSC Decisions on projects according to A.1, A.2, B.1 and B.2.
- Christophe Bouvier: Need for the EC to clarify reporting rules. Technical issues can be solved as long as UNEP Secretariat is able to report on UNEP financial and administration rules – overall responsibility.
- Hugo Schally: UNEP needs to solve internally issues on Section 3.8 and come back to the EC with proposals. What is the system intended to be used and solution to solve the inconsistencies with rules of MEA Secretariats?
 - Subject to all rules being respected, DG ENV preferred having ENRTP funding stay in a unique trust fund → better for sound financial management, more flexible approach for the sake of budget reallocation and easier to track interest calculation;
→ If EC funding was to be split between different Trust Funds there would be a need to request the opinion of EC financial officers.
- Christopher Taylor → EC approved projects will be given a code linked to established Trust Funds and sub-allotments will be made to UNEP Divisions and MEA Secretariats (within IMIS). This will facilitate consolidated reporting (avoid double reporting) and calculation of interests. MEA will have access to IMIS.

- Christophe Bouvier:
 - MEA Secretariats will have full control on their funds. Issue of reporting: If funds are transferred to the MEA Secretariats trust funds, there would be a risk of double reporting (UNEP and MEA) for MEA project (reporting to COP and to SCA).
 - Programme support costs → 66% are allocated to MEA Secretariats and 33% stay with UNEP Secretariat.
- Frank Moser from Chemicals Conventions:
 - For the time being, the only way to make contribution to BRS Secretariat is through the trust fund. Their rules do not foresee any other way. Except if accepted by the COP.
 - Nevertheless, can agree to Sections 3.1 to 3.7 of the Governance document.
- Juan Carlos Vasquez from CITES:
 - There are two Trust Funds for all CITES operations, not possible to have another one.
 - Not consulted on the draft of the Governance structure (received on 28/3) → Requests not to accept the document.
 - Raised the question of the allocation of Project/Programme Support Costs (PSC)
- Jill Hanna:
 - Need for a dedicated meeting between UNEP and MEAs, also involving UNON
 - Need for clarity on allocation of the programme support costs
 - Concerned that CITES has not seen the Governance structure.
 - Impossible to agree on the Governance structure without Section 3.8.
- Hugo Schally:
 - Expects UNEP can come back with the MEA Secretariats and UNON with content for Section 3.8 in view of setting up a videoconference all together. Any legal problem should be identified and the issue solved.

3. COMMUNICATION

- Preliminary remarks
 - Christophe Bouvier introduced the discussion note on the Communication and Visibility plan as aiming at showcasing joint collaboration and indicated outlined lead from UNEP in Geneva and in Brussels on its development.
- Communication and Visibility plan
 - Sylvie Motard added that development of the Communication and Visibility plan is a requirement at project and SCA levels. Discussion note gives some ideas on what we would like to do. Amongst others, major international events could be targeted to showcase the SCA collaboration such as Rio. A list of most relevant events could be annexed to the Communication and Visibility Plan.
 - James Morris, Communication Officer in UNEP Brussels Office indicated that communication is to be made at SCA and at project levels with the need to define the target groups to be addressed. He suggested having a brainstorming session with relevant communication officers from respective institutions.
 - Brennan Van Dyke, UNEP Director of Resource Mobilization Office outlined that the plan should be developed so as to show how we successfully achieve the objectives planned in the SCAs in the overall context of aid effectiveness. In this regards, UNEP, MEA Secretariats and the EC should brainstorm together on the messages that we want to channel.
 - Paul Renier stated that the communication plan:
 - Needs to show to the outside world why we partner and how different COPs decisions are implemented by us together
 - Is developed to communicate at SCA level and at project level
 - Needs to identify specifically target groups without targeting everybody
 - Suggests to organize a meeting involving UNEP and EC communication officers

- Fabien Sordet suggested having a more realistic and focused by reducing and detailing the targets and the levels. At SCA level, emphasis should be put on national governments. It was important for DG ENV that the plan enabled joint EC-UNEP/MEAs communication on policy issues.
- David Osborn, Sub-programme coordinator for Ecosystem Management suggested integrating communication work of the sub-programmes in developing the communication plan leading to third level.
- Paul Renier welcomed the additional third level mentioning that projects could serve as examples.
- Juan Carlos Vasquez proposed to organize a side-event at next CITES COPs meeting (March 2013) and have a calendar of events.
- Fanny Demassieux, Sub-programme coordinator for Resource Efficiency suggested using the opportunity of Rio for a communication event (although we do not have much time). Paul Renier welcomed the idea.
- Sylvie Motard put emphasis on the need to take advantage of regional presence of UNEP and of the EC through their EU Delegations with full agreement of Paul Renier and Bettina Lorz.
- Paul Renier suggested that i) UNEP revises the discussion note; ii) respective communication officers are identified in view of iii) organizing rapidly a meeting between them; iv) identifying UNEP and EC existing plans for Rio and select most relevant ones to showcase visibility of the SCAs.

4. PROJECTS

• DG ENV: Fabien Sordet

- Indicated that most of the full-fledged proposals have been submitted to DG ENV.
- On all projects, UNEP HQ needed to clarify on the EUR/USD exchange rate to be used.
- UNEP:
 - Hazardous Waste & Hazardous Substances:
 - Mercury: Full-fledged proposal approved. A1 status
 - SAICM ICCM3: A revised concept note is expected and full-fledged proposal → David Piper: Already in full-fledged proposal development without request from Helen McCarthy of a revised concept note. A1 status
 - Endocrine disrupters: Missing 1 chemical project → David Piper: Project has been shared with DG ENV, separate budget table is needed. Ready for submission. A1 status
 - Worldwide Interlaboratory (POPs): David Piper indicated that DG ENV approved full-fledged proposal. A1 status
 - Resource Efficiency:
 - Global Supply Chain: Full-fledged proposal submitted to DG ENV on 29/3 (Pavel Misiga). A1 status
 - Resource Panel (Fast Start Action): Full-fledged proposal still missing → Not approved yet. A1 status
 - Measuring Transition Green Economy: Approved. A1 status
 - Ecosystem Management:
 - IPBES: Approved. A1 status
 - Biodiversity Indicators Partnership: Approved. A1 status
 - Environmental Governance:
 - InforMEA: Concept note received → Further discussion will take place between UNEP and ENV.E2. B1 status
 - MEA Regional focal points: Concept note received → ENV.E2 is still looking into it. Christophe Bouvier clarified that official confirmation by Government of Norway was given at UNEP Governing Council on its financial support. A2 status
- MEA Secretariats:

- CBD - Nagoya Protocol on ABS: Full-fledged proposal submitted on 24 February was approved for a total of USD 449.980. The outstanding amount (out of EUR 500.000) is still to be programmed between DG ENV and CBD (further discussion needed). A1 status
 - CBD - Intercessional activities prior to COP11: Approved. A1 status
 - Supporting implementation and capacity building activities relating to COP 11 decisions: Concept note to be submitted to DG ENV Biodiversity unit after COP meeting in October 2012. Amount is already earmarked. A2 status
 - CBD – Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety: CBD Secretariat asked for clarification of EC plans regarding its voluntary contribution to the Protocol that was received under CBD grants from previous ENRTP. UNEP Brussels office proposed to help clarifying the issue with the EC for the next PSC meeting.
 - CMS - CMS family capacity building and Shark projects: Approved. A1 status
 - Basel-Rotterdam-Stockholm Secretariats: All full-fledged proposals approved. A1 status
 - Synergies between Basel-Rotterdam-Stockholm conventions: DG ENV is late for comments to the full-fledged proposal received in January. A1 status
- B.1 projects and new project ideas → To be discussed and prepared with a view to the next PSC meeting (if ready for submission). There will not be much flexibility for additional projects in 2012 so we will really need to prioritize.

• **DG DEVCO: Paul Renier**

- Initial envelope of €15 million – €8.1 million for three full-fledged proposals (PEI, SWITCH Med, TEEB) and €6.9 million of already pre-selected projects (Green Economy Caribbean, SEED, DRR Global scope, EBA)
- Informal announcement of an increase of €14 million of the initial envelope pending results of a QSG meeting scheduled on 29/3 and depending on satisfactory cooperation and implementation of actual programme. Financing decision is expected in July 2012 → rider to DG DEVCO SCA → transfer of funds in September)

• **Under the initial envelope of €15 million:**

• **UNEP:**

• **Ecosystem management:**

- Poverty Environment Initiative): Approved → A1 status
- TEEB: Pending approval → A1 status

• **Resource efficiency:**

- Green Economy in the Caribbean (2012-2013): Approved – launch in Barbados on 28/3 → A1 status
- SEED project: Concept note sent 28/3 is not the one initially discussed and the amount has changed.

Fanny Demassieux clarified on inclusion of GE advisory services component.

Paul Renier: SEED component was very cost-effective. If new concept: to be reconsidered.

Christophe: Possible to keep the €0.9 million envelope?

Nadia Lamhandaz (DG DEVCO project manager): Discussions with both SEED and ETB make process difficult → need some time to look at it – 2 components in 1 concept note agreed. Will be considered later.

Christophe: Possible to agree by written procedure (email)?

Decision taken on the initially discussed concept note (only SEED component) → A2 status.

- Climate change:
 - Ecosystem Based Adaptation: Last version received on 28/3
Etienne Coyette's comments (DG DEVCO project manager): (i) Interested in strengthening EBA in collaboration with Germany (mountains) – EC: Coastal, relation with communities; (ii) Background information and objectives: More focus on socio-economic aspects and importance of ecosystems for livelihood; (iii) Envelop of €2.5 million → More coverage than 1 pilot country
Kaveh Zahedi – Sub-programme coordinator for Climate change: Will follow Etienne Coyette's comments. Complementation of German funding for mountain, budget to €2.5 to 3 million
Decision: Full-fledged proposal, incorporating comments and modifying budget, not waiting next PSC, approval through written way (email) → A2 status
 - Disasters & Conflicts:
 - Disaster Risk Reduction (Global scope):
Jozijs Blok's comments (DG DEVCO programme officer): (i) Building on on-going innovative approach of DRR, EBA and climate change; (ii) select countries so as to cover the different ecosystems (as mentioned on P.2) – Afghanistan (mountains), Caribbean (coastal), Haiti, what country for dry land?
Henrik Slotte – Sub-programme coordinator for Disasters & Conflicts: Agrees on comments
A1 status
- MEA Secretariats:
 - MAP - SWITCH Med: Pending approval → A1 status
- **Under the additional envelope of €14 million:**
- UNEP:
 - Climate change:
 - Sustainable Energy for All (SE4ALL): €1 million – DG DEVCO project manager: Kjell Larsson (Unit C5. Energy). Uncertainty if under AAP 2011 or 2012. But it is within the €15 million envelope. Relation with 16 April conference. Should be finalized before. Paul Renier: A1 status.
 - ODS project: €5 million – DG CLIMA: This is a high priority for DG CLIMA. The DG had only proposed one Climate project to be included in the SCA and was not very happy to find out that it was not included in actions under the original €15 million envelope, in particular given its advance state of development.
Funding for the ODS project is additional to funds above the €25 million annual Mitigation allocation for 2012 since DG CLIMA had programmed the total envelope for three other activities. (REDD+, Technology Platforms; PMR). The latter fiche has been sent to DG DEVCO for processing. A2 status.
 - Resource efficiency:
 - Eco-innovation: €1 275 000 → A2 status
 - Eco-labelling: €1 200 000 → A2 status
 - Sustainable Public Procurement: €1 765 000 → A2 status

Fanny Demassieux: Concept notes being discussed.

Paul Renier: Bundle projects together / synergies – better to have 1 project of €5 million instead of 3 projects of €1 million.

Paul Renier's proposal: As SEED is not totally ready, we can start with the first year of the SPP, depending on the July decision for further continuation → Agreed

Fanny Demassieux: Bundle SPP and Eco-labelling → Agreed

Paul Renier to consult with Cristiana Pasca Palmer to get her agreement: Feedback to UNEP.

Eco-innovation project: DG ENV and DG DEVCO should start discussion with Fanny Demassieux.

- MEA Secretariats:

- CITES - Nb.7: The concept note on capacity building was changed with a new emphasis on traceability and aquatic species: "*Strengthening capacity in developing countries for sustainable wildlife management and better traceability of international wildlife trade, with particular focus on commercially-exploited aquatic species, rhinoceros and snake skins*" (€ 3.5 million amount requested) – still in discussions by technical units

Comment of DG DEVCO: New concept note was received on the 28 March (the day before the PSC). Hence requires more time to analyse the document and additional discussions with CITES and DG ENV would be needed → candidate for July → B1 status (more discussions are needed) with submission to the next PSC meeting – Discussions between CITES, DG DEVCO and DG ENV are needed.

- CITES - MIKE Phase III (Project is sitting in UNEP DELC)

Comment of DG DEVCO: Not ready at all. Further discussions needed between CITES, DG DEVCO and DG ENV, after meeting in Nairobi (Enrico Pironio) end April. Need to include enforcement component. No formal commitment can be made for the moment.

Comment CITES: Planned to apply for EDF but waiting for reply → Urgent issue as current project phase ends end of March. CITES asked for a formal commitment from DG DEVCO to block €2 million for this project - Candidate for July → B1 status (more discussions are needed) with submission to the next PSC meeting.

Following 5 projects are not in the radar of DG DEVCO:

Climate Change:

- Technology Centers
Comments of DG DEVCO: to be checked with DG CLIMA
- Carbon Finance
Comments of DG DEVCO: to be checked with DG CLIMA

Environmental Governance:

- Nb.38. EU-UN Aid effectiveness
Comments of DG DEVCO: (i) not a top priority for DG DEVCO; (ii) EC works with OECD on that, not a priority under this umbrella → B2 status
- Nb.31. TA in strengthening of environmental laws
Comment of DG DEVCO: This is not a top priority for DG DEVCO. It is a very small project → B2 status

Teheran Convention:

- Nb.53. Caspian Sea
Comments of DG DEVCO: (i) Little appetite from the geographic unit, (ii) On-going project ending in 2012, (iii) not a priority
Christophe Bouvier: Strategic project for UNEP, expressed interest in opening a dialogue with DEVCO on that topic
Paul Renier: Will ask geographic unit to provide justification for non-selection of the project → B2 status

- Similar to DG ENV approach, DG DEVCO will phase the funding of some selected projects.
- **DG CLIMA: Philip Owen**
- UNEP - Climate change:
 - ODS:
Arno Kaschl, transport and ozone unit (Head of Unit, Philip Owen): Demo cost-effectively destruction of ODS contained in equipment; state of development of project quite advanced
Concept note approved and first draft of full-fledged proposal received by Steering Committee in week 26/3

Paul Renier: Budget of €5 million – Part of the top up of €14 million in July 2012 so A2 status
Arno Kaschl: No problem to start in second half of 2012 but would prefer starting now

Kaveh Zahedi: Full-fledged project proposal, more advanced than some other project proposals included in A1 (A1 status because of maturity)

Head of Unit, Philip Owen: Given the priority attached to this project by DG CLIMA, wanted to see guarantees for having the necessary funds for the ODS banks project available
 - Nb.41. Technology Centers & Network (TCN):
Comments of Geoffrey Rudd: TCN, currently no identification of implementing partner within the UNFCCC framework – identification fiche has been sent to DG DEVCO for comments – internal discussions – develop an action fiche = basis for the commitment of funds → Discussion points: which implementing agency? For commitment in 2012 and implementation in 2013.

Kaveh Zahedi:
 - UNFCCC bidding process on-going – mid-year decision and final approval at the COP end of the year – OK to wait for UNFCCC decision is taken;
 - Questions: our proposal? Implementing agency?
 - Geoffrey Rudd: This is a DG CLIMA proposal, which it has been working on for 1 year, before any involvement of any UN agency. Development of action fiche is on-going. Funding could be allocated under ENRTP AAP 2012 on the basis of DG CLIMA own work – will be adjusted to the implementers;
 - Kaveh Zahedi: Suggests to wait until UNFCCC has made a decision with agreement of Paul Renier

Budget foreseen: €5 million – This is budget earmarked under the ENRTP priorities – (meaning additional funding) – If UNEP wins the bid, it will be incorporated in the SCA so A2 status
 - Carbon Finance in LDCs Africa:
Not on the list of DG CLIMA

Kaveh Zahedi: Shared with DG CLIMA in the past / suggests to postpone discussions on project since no “champion” identified within DG CLIMA to date

Geoffrey Rudd: No more funding available for 2012

5. SESSION ON POSSIBLE RIDERS

- **DG ENV:** €9 million in 2012 and €6 million in 2013

Decision on the rider to DG ENV SCA in 2012 will be part of the same financing decision as for DG DEVCO. Hopefully the rider could be signed in September 2012.

- **DG DEVCO:** Rider of €14 million (See Section 4. Projects)
- **DG CLIMA:** Paul Renier indicates that currently DG CLIMA funding is channelled through DG DEVCO SCA. DG DEVCO will consult with DG CLIMA to see if current frame is satisfactory to DG CLIMA or if they would like to have a different set up.

Geoffrey Rudd indicated that discussions between DG CLIMA and UNEP should start in the second half of the year preceding the year of programming.

6. CONCLUSIONS

Category Status:

A1: PSC agreement on project funding (Project concept note is approved and project is selected for funding under the SCAs - Agreement on development of full-fledged proposal)

A2: PSC agreement in principle (Project concept note is approved in principle but still there are some aspects that need to be address)¹

B1: Joint discussion at policy level needed for possible development of concept note in view of subsequent PSC

B2: Project either not of joint interest or not a top priority compared to other priorities and is rejected

Status	Project acronym	EC allocation (€ M)
A1..	PEI	2
A1	TEEB	3
A1	GE Caribbean (ACSSD-GE)	1
A1	SE4All	1
A1	DRR	2.5
A1	SWITCH Med	3.1
A2	SPP/Eco-labelling (start-up)	1
A2	SEED (start-up)	0.4
A2	EBA (start-up)	1
	TOTAL	15

July 2012:

Status	Project acronym	EC allocation (€ M)
A2	ODS	5
A2	SEED (ctd)	0.5
A2	SPP/Eco-labelling (ctd)	1.965
A2	Eco-innovation	1.275
A2	EBA (ctd)	1.5
	TOTAL	10.24

Status	Project acronym	EC allocation (€ M)
B1	MIKE Phase III	Max 2
B1	Aquatic species	Max 2
	TOTAL	4

¹ NB: Under this specific Second PSC meeting, status A2 has been given to some DEVCO concept notes (please see table below) on the conditionality that additional funds are available after a financing decision is taken by the EC in July 2012. The concept notes do not need to be fine tuned from a technical point of view, however, the full project proposals will have to well reflect which activities will be financed under the initial envelope and which further activities will be financed provided that additional funding is available.

Next steps:

- For projects under Category A Group 1 → Development of full-fledged proposal.
- For projects under Category A Group 2 → Development of full-fledged proposals following PSC comments, but pending ENRTP 2012 financing decision in July 2012 and signature of the SCA replenishment.
- For projects under Category B Group 1 → PSC requested additional discussion to confirm joint interest for a project → Development and submission of project concept to subsequent PSC meeting.
- Next PSC: Sept. 2012 (except GA – 12-15 week). Christophe Bouvier suggested holding it back to back to the EC-UNEP High Level Meeting.
- Need to work out with PMU with sufficient time before the PSC and improve efficiency of the process.


Mr. Christophe Bouvier
Head of Office for Operations
United Nations Environment Programme, Executive Office
Date: 23 May 2012
Signature:

Mr. Hugo-Maria Schally
Head of Unit E2 - International Affairs, Trade and Environment
Directorate-General for Environment
Date: 12 JUIN 2012
Signature:


Mr. Paul Renier
Deputy Head of Unit C2 - Climate Change, Environment, Natural Resources, Water
Directorate-General for Development and Cooperation – EuropeAid
Date:
Signature:


7/06/12