

Joint UNDP-UNEP POVERTY-ENVIRONMENT INITIATIVE



Integrating Pro-poor Development into Biodiversity Strategic Planning:

Lessons from the UNEP-UNDP Poverty-Environment Initiative



October, 2015



Outline of Presentation



- 1. PEI and our programmatic approach
- 2. Mainstreaming Poverty-Environment linkages
- 3. Country examples and experiences
- Emerging lessons learned and best practices





1. PEI Programmatic Approach to Poverty-Environment Mainstreaming



FINDING THE ENTRY POINTS AND MAKING THE CASE

- Conduct preliminary assessments (e.g. poverty, social and environmental assessments)
- Raise awareness and build partnerships (e.g. implement communication strategies)
- Develop country-specific evidence (e.g. economic and poverty analysis of sustainable ENR management)
- Strengthen
 institutional
 capacities of stakeholders and
 coordination mechanisms

MAINSTREAMING IN NATIONAL PLANNING AND BUDGETING PROCESSES

- Inform and influence national and sector planning and monitoring working groups
- Conduct expenditure reviews and prepare budget guidance notes
- Conduct strategic environmental assessment/poverty and social impact analysis of policies and plans
- Influence national monitoring systems (e.g. indicators and data collection and analysis)
- Strengthen institutional capacities of stakeholders and coordination mechanisms

MAINSTREAMING INTO SECTORAL AND SUBNATIONAL PLANNING AND BUDGETING, MONITORING AND PRIVATE INVESTMENT

- Conduct strategic environmental assessment/poverty and social impact analysis/cost-benefit analysis of sector policies and plans
- Conduct integrated ecosystem assessments and climate change adaptation planning
- Influence monitoring systems (e.g. indicators and data collection and analysis)
- Influence budgets and financing options (economic instruments, expenditure reviews)
- Strengthen institutional capacities of stakeholders and coordination mechanisms



2. Mainstreaming P-E linkages: Key PEI Objectives



Global



Regional



National



Local

- To raise awareness about poverty-environment issues at the global level
- To mobilize and coordinate resources for povertyenvironment initiatives
- To facilitate the exchange of information between regional organizations and national governments
- To enhance the capacity of regional organizations to address poverty-environment issues
- To build national capacity to develop policies which reflect poverty-environment needs
- To explore ways to strengthen environmental management mechanisms
- To promote policy coherence
- •To provide technical support to undertake integrated assessments
- •To support projects that restore ecosystems and improve well-being
- To explore ways local governance structure can be strengthened to manage ecosystem services
- •To promote benefit-sharing strategies within local governments



3. Country examples: 3.1 Lao PDR



The situation

• Investors took advantage of a **missing legislation** on foreign direct investment in Lao PDR in natural resources, leading to negative impacts on the poor and the environment.

 Consequences included forced displacement, and lost access of communities to the surrounding forest, the sources of their

food and livelihood.

 The government could not track on-going foreign investments and the control or monitoring of foreign operations was minimal.



3.1 Lao PDR What PEI is doing in Lao PDR



- Together with PEI, the government is developing new guidelines for foreign investors to **improve the quality**, and not just the quantity, of foreign investment in the country.
- PEI strengthened the communication between the Ministry of Planning and Investment and the Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources and involved the Parliament strengthening its oversight function.
- Jointly carried out an Integrated Ecosystem Assessment to provide development scenarios for investments, build capacity, and ensure that biodiversity benefits and costs were fully included in decisionmaking.
- PEI also works to **toughen bottom-up development measures** by encouraging citizen involvement in environmental and social impact assessment procedures.



3.1 Lao PDR The Results



- A database through which planning officers are able to monitor foreign investments in all parts of the country.
- They are also equipped with an Investment Monitoring
 Framework to assess the economic, environmental and social impact of investment on a continued basis.
- The Government is now reviewing approximately one third of the investments coming into the country.
- Working with PEI the government is developing new contracts, tighter conditions and more advanced monitoring system to make investment work for its community as well as for the environment.



3.2 Malawi The situation



- **Declining fish stock** in Lake Malawi. Fisheries generate jobs for 400,000 people and livelihoods for 2 million. Fish provides up to 40% of the protein for the whole country.
- Soil erosion leading to 6% under-production in agriculture and rural poverty for an estimated 1.88 million people.





3.2 Malawi What PEI is doing in Malawi



- In January 2011 a study quantified and compared the costs and benefits of sustainable and unsustainable natural resource management at 5% of GDP.
- Analysis included (nature-based) tourism, forestry, agriculture/soil conservation, and fisheries.
- New perspectives: wildlife was shown to contribute 2.7% of GDP (in previous official statistics it was 0%)
- The economic analysis showed the links between investing in ecosystems and poverty alleviation in a way that all government institutions understand the issues, advocacy was done through the national media.



3.2 Malawi The Results





- Evidence of **loss of US\$191 million** on natural resources or
- 5% of its GDP led to government rethinking on environment/poverty, and significant increases in public spending on these sectors (US\$ 50 million per year in the new Development Strategy 2011-2016)
- Sectoral changes: habitat restoration introduced into policy on fisheries, and more stringent regulation of private sector in aquaculture
- New monitoring stations on the lakes of Malawi will track any changes to the water quality.



4. Lessons Learned



- I. It has been easier to integrate Climate Change and poverty into national development plans; but biodiversity remains marginalized (and under-valued)
- II. Carry out Public Expenditure Reviews (PEER) in the Environment Natural Resource (ENR) sectors and include biodiversity in these (BPIERs)
- III. Develop **budget guidelines** for Planning/Finance on how they can better include environmental sustainability including biodiversity, into macro & sector budgets, MTEFs, district planning etc.
- IV. Support key sector **policy & budgetary development processes** to ensure sustainability objectives operationalized



4. Lessons Learned (cont.)



- V. Economic assessment tools and evidence are needed to get the attention of decision-makers: biodiversity needs to feature in these
- VI. Should be linked to the social, environmental and economic benefits of **sustainable management of natural resources**, including access to and distribution of NR
- VII. Sustained post-assessment follow-up:
 - Repeated briefings & communications, M&E.
 - "Champions" as messengers
- VIII. Need to develop and present more "positive" examples on how biodiversity can create/sustain jobs and growth (e.g. India's Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme)
- IX. Work as one UN and mainstream biodiversity through UNDAFs



PEI DONORS





Norwegian Ministry of Foreign Affairs



European Union



Swedish International Development Cooperation



UK Aid



Spanish Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Cooperation



THANK YOU www.unpei.org





















