



VALUE CHAIN ANALYSIS FOR DEVELOPMENT



for



Is the VC socially sustainable?



3. Is the VC socially sustainable?

Construction of an image of main outcomes

Based on 6 domains of analysis



Framing Question 3. Is the VC socially sustainable?

CQ3.1. Are **working conditions** throughout the VC socially acceptable and sustainable? Do VC operations contribute to improving them?

CQ3.2. Are the **land and water rights** implemented throughout the VC socially acceptable and sustainable?

CQ3.3. Throughout the VC, do actors foster and put into practice **gender equality**?

CQ3.4. Do VC operations contribute to upgrading and securing the **food and nutrition conditions**?

CQ3.5. Is **social capital** enhanced by VC operations and equitably distributed throughout the VC?

The social analysis


Reflection based on the Social Profile...

- Use when relevant the common typology of actors as the rest of the team → further aggregation or disaggregation can nevertheless be made. Try to understand the key benefits or disadvantages created by the VC by type of actor
- Going beyond the score, highlighting differences between types of actors, or geographical regions...
- Highlighting the critical points that can be hidden behind the score of a domain
- Try to discern the societal context from the VC specific one (problems of attributions in certain situation if the VC is a relative small part of a farmers activity)
- Adding some elements relative to the territory and qualitative analysis (complementing quantitative indicators)

1. WORKING CONDITIONS	4. FOOD & NUTRITION SECURITY
1.1 Respect of labour rights	4.1 Availability of food
1.2 Child labour	4.2 Accessibility of food
1.3 Job safety	4.3 Utilisation and nutritional adequacy
1.4 Attractiveness	4.4 Stability
2. LAND & WATER RIGHTS	5. SOCIAL CAPITAL
2.1 Adherence to VGGT*	5.1 Strength of producer organisations
2.2 Transparency, participation and consultation	5.2 Information and confidence
2.3 Equity, compensation and justice	5.3 Social investments
3. GENDER EQUALITY	6. LIVING CONDITIONS
3.1 Economic activities	6.1 Health services
3.2 Access to resources and services	6.2 Housing
3.3 Decision making	6.3 Education and training
3.4 Leadership and empowerment	6.4 Mobility
3.5 Hardship and division of labour	*VGGT = Voluntary Guidelines on the responsible Governance of Tenure land, fisheries and forests

6 domains, each divided
in several subdomains...

Applying the social profile : overview of the process



Working with the Social Profile

Assessing risks → likelihood and impact

Make an expert based judgement on the social sustainability of the VC scoring 63 questions:

Compounded average

Questions ⇒ Sub-domains ⇒ Domains (⇒ Global)

High

Substantial

Moderate/Low

Low/Not at all

n/a « Not applicable » or « Not available »

...with a score grid of 63 questions...

2	Question	Source	Score level	Count
1 WORKING CONDITIONS				
1.1 Respect of labour rights				
1.1.1 To what extent do companies involved in the value chain respect the standards elaborated in the 8 fundamental ILO international labour conventions and in the ICESCR and ICCPR?				
5			High	4
6	1.1.2 Is freedom of association allowed and effective (collective bargaining)?		Substantial	3
7	1.1.3 To what extent do workers benefit from enforceable and fair contracts		Moderate/Low	2
8	1.1.4 To what extent are risks of forced labour in any segment of the value chain minimised?		Not at all	1
9	1.1.5 To what extent are any risks of discrimination in employment for specific categories of the population minimised?		n/a	n/a
10		Average:	Substantial	2,50
1.2 Child Labour				
12	1.2.1 Degree of school attendance in case children are working (in any segment of the value chain)?		n/a	n/a
13	1.2.2 Are children protected from exposure to harmful jobs?		n/a	n/a
14		Average:	n/a	n/a
1.3 Job safety				
15	1.3.1 Degree of protection from accidents and		n/a	n/a

A guidance for the analytical process – 'check list'

- Support to understand the interactions between the actors of the VC and the general environment
- Calling for comments, problem identification, mitigating measures
- Not all single question to reply if not relevant
- Questions relate to common concerns to policy makers (and their strategic goals)
- They can be adjusted to the context (they have been designed to embrace all VCs and all countries...)

Register sheet


1	name...		Country: name...			Date Last Modification: .. / .. / 20 ..		Previous Analysis
2	Dimension	Count	Score level	Trend	Major risks and possible negative consequences	Mitigating measures	Comments	date: .. / .. / 20 ..
3								Score Level
5	1 WORKING CONDITIONS							
6	1.1 Respect of labour rights	2,50	Substantial	↑				0,00 Not at all
7	1.2 Child Labour	n/a	n/a	↑				0,00 Not at all
8	1.3 Job safety	n/a	n/a	↑				0,00 Not at all
9	1.4 Attractiveness	n/a	n/a	↑				0,00 Not at all
10	Average	2,50	Substantial	↑				0,00 Not at all
11	2 LAND & WATER RIGHTS							
12	2.1 Adherence to VGGT	n/a	n/a	↑				0,00 Not at all
13	2.2 Transparency, participation and consulta	n/a	n/a	↑				0,00 Not at all
14	2.3 Equity, compensation and justice	n/a	n/a	↑				0,00 Not at all
15	Average	n/a	n/a	↑				0,00 Not at all
16	3 GENDER EQUALITY							
17	3.1 Economic activities	n/a	n/a	↑				0,00 Not at all
18	3.2 Access to resources and services	n/a	n/a	↑				0,00 Not at all
19	3.3 Decision making	n/a	n/a	↑				0,00 Not at all
20	3.4 Leadership and empowerment	n/a	n/a	↑				0,00 Not at all
21	3.5 Hardship and division of labour	n/a	n/a	↑				0,00 Not at all
22	Average	n/a	n/a	↑				0,00 Not at all
23	4 FOOD AND NUTRITION SECURITY							
24	4.1 Availability of food	n/a	n/a	↑				0,00 Not at all
25	4.2 Accessibility of food	n/a	n/a	↑				0,00 Not at all
26	4.3 Utilisation and nutritional adequacy	n/a	n/a	↑				0,00 Not at all
27	4.4 Stability	n/a	n/a	↑				0,00 Not at all
28	Average	n/a	n/a	↑				0,00 Not at all
29	5 SOCIAL CAPITAL							
30	5.1 Strength of producer organisations	n/a	n/a	↑				0,00 Not at all
31	5.2 Information and confidence	n/a	n/a	↑				0,00 Not at all
32	5.3 Social involvement	n/a	n/a	↑				0,00 Not at all

...ending in a social profile...

Domain	Present profile		Trend	Previous profile .../20..	
	Score level	Count		Score level	Count
1 WORKING CONDITIONS	Moderate/Low	2.25	↑	Not at all	0.00
2 LAND & WATER RIGHTS	Moderate/Low	1.58	↑	Not at all	0.00
3 GENDER EQUALITY	Substantial	2.69	↑	Not at all	0.00
4 FOOD AND NUTRITION SECURITY	Substantial	2.63	↑	Not at all	0.00
5 SOCIAL CAPITAL	Moderate/Low	2.11	↑	Not at all	0.00
6 LIVING CONDITIONS	Moderate/Low	2.50	↑	Not at all	0.00

...that will be the basis for the Social ANALYSIS

(Pointing at strengths, risks, opportunities, problems, and issues for further analysis)




Interlinks with the other analyses

Strong cooperation with the **economist** to reply to the FQ 2 on inclusiveness

Strong cooperation with the **environmental expert** to highlight:

- - health hazards in working conditions (vs human health in LCA)
- - On biodiversity, work together to the replies on identification of practises and perspectives; inventory of actions and policies

Expected deliverables



1) A Social Analysis to be included in the report



2) A completed Social Profile that will be the basis of the Social Analysis



3) Contribution from the social expert to the functional analysis, to the executive summary, to the reply to all the framing questions (inclusiveness and social sustainability in particular)



Thank you for listening !

