


## Duty-bearer stakeholders: building pathways of influence

### Defining protection objectives and outcomes

Threat (selected)	Objectives	Changes in behaviour of perpetrators	Improvements in compliance by duty-bearer stakeholders	Direct changes in lives of people
who does what to whom, where, what for  Strategic or tactic (explain)	What would happen if threat becomes reduced?  Or: how would we know that the threat is reduced (or some of its aspects)	Which should be the actions taken by perpetrators? Why would they do it? How would they do it?	Which should be the actions taken by DBS? Why would they do it? How would they do it?  =	What should happen (Vuln or Cap)? Which would be the effect of that change on the Threat, or on perpetrators, or on DBS?
		↑ Paths of influence	↑ Paths of influence	↑ Paths of influence / actions



**Duty-bearer (and key) stakeholders  
make decisions about action to be taken**



**Action taken: outcomes**



## *Integrated projects....*

Combining different sectors may  
improve access and enhance results...

*Direct engagement with duty-bearer stakeholders:  
awareness, knowledge, attitude and (specially)  
behaviour*

- Direct engagement with duty-bearer stakeholders (DBS): advocacy.
- Building relationships/confidence with d-b stakeholders
- Meeting them, writing to them, addressing them in a confidential way. Informing, letting them know, putting an item in the agenda (salience).

## ***Mobilizing other key stakeholders to convince duty-bearer stakeholders about the need to take action***

- Talking to others to address d-b stakeholders about specific issues; Gathering clout and momentum,
- Building networks, collaborations, partnerships, alliances, joint activities (like field visits, etc.)

***Making it possible that primary stakeholders get access to authorities and due response from them***

- Promote that relevant d-b stakeholders meet primary stakeholders.
- Promote negotiations and agreements about relevant protection issues.
- Provide follow up in relation to agreements
- Getting external observers/supervisors to overview the agreements/decisions made



*Defining / getting approved procedures, policies, law: structural ways of addressing a protection problem*

- Creating new procedures, policies or law
- Or amending existing ones
- Or improving their implementation (gap)
- Or stopping its application

## *New knowledge, analysis, research*


- Information gathering
- Fact finding
- Documenting, presenting evidence
- Presentation of research findings

*Public dissemination of information for creating public  
will and mobilization of shame  
(if d-b stakeh. are affected by this) (risks attached!)*

Reports,  
data about events,  
access to media,  
campaigns,

## *Primary stakeholders:*

- Improving their capacities around protection issues.
- Focus on organizational capacities (social cohesion, context assessment, participatory and quick decision making, internal structures, awareness of legal rights, etc.)
- Getting access to external resources and key stakeholder ready to support them. Networking.
- Increasing their capacity to undertake legal processes and joint actions. Supporting these actions.
- Collective action, self-protection activities (place, territory)



*...gathering clout and contacts by  
primary and key stakeholders:  
collaboration for action*

**Duty-bearer (and key) stakeholders  
make decisions about action to be taken**



**Action taken: outcomes**




Defining protection objectives and outcomes				
Threat (selected)	Objectives	Changes in behaviour of perpetrators	Improvements in compliance by duty-bearer stakeholders	Direct changes in lives of people
<p>who does what to whom, where, what for</p> <p>Strategic or tactic (explain)</p>	<p>What would happen if threat becomes reduced?</p> <p>Or: how would we know that the threat is reduced (or some of its aspects)</p>	<p>Which should be the actions taken by perpetrators?</p> <p>Why would they do it?</p> <p>How would they do it?</p>	<p>Which should be the actions taken by DBS?</p> <p>Why would they do it?</p> <p>How would they do it?</p>	<p>What should happen (Vuln or Cap)?</p> <p>Which would be the effect of that change on the Threat, or on perpetrators, or on DBS?</p>
		<p>↑</p> <p>Paths of influence</p>	<p>↑</p> <p>Paths of influence</p>	<p>↑</p> <p>Paths of influence / actions</p>



Will

+ w

- C




+ w

+ C

- w

- C



- w

+ C



Capacity

## Defining protection objectives and outcomes

Threat (selected)	Objectives	Changes in behaviour of perpetrators	Improvements in compliance by duty-bearer stakeholders	Direct changes in lives of people
<p>who does what to whom, where, what for</p> <p>Strategic or tactic (explain)</p>	<p>What would happen if threat becomes reduced?</p> <p>Or: how would we know that the threat is reduced (or some of its aspects)</p>	<p>Which should be the actions taken by perpetrators?</p> <p>Why would they do it?</p> <p>How would they do it?</p>	<p>Which should be the actions taken by DBS?</p> <p>Why would they do it?</p> <p>How would they do it?</p>	<p>What should happen (Vuln or Cap)?</p> <p>Which would be the effect of that change on the Threat, or on perpetrators, or on DBS?</p>
		<p>↑</p> <p>Paths of influence</p>	<p>↑</p> <p>Paths of influence</p>	<p>↑</p> <p>Paths of influence / actions</p>