

ROM Monitoring Questions

<i>Type of ROM review</i>	Projects and Programmes
<i>Project title</i>	Investing in Maasai women for improving rural community well being
<i>Project reference</i>	C-341127
<i>Delegation in charge</i>	Tanzania

Key information				
Domain (instrument)	HUM - Human Development			
DAC Sector	11330 - Vocational training			
Zone Benefitting from the Action	Tanzania			
Type of Project/Programme	Thematic			
Geographic Implementation	Single-country			
Contracting Party	OIKOS EAST AFRICA			
EU contribution	1,704,690 €			
Project Implementation Dates	Start Date	01/04/2015	End Date	31/03/2019
Reason for ROM review	Problematic			
ROM expert(s) name(s)	AFONSO PEREIRA Aline			
Field phase	Start Date	02/10/2017	End Date	10/10/2017

Scoring overview											
Relevance	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.7 a)	1.7 b)	1.7 c)	1.7 d)	1.7 e)
Efficiency	2.1	2.2	2.3 a)	2.3 b)	2.3 c)	2.4 a)	2.4 b)	2.4 c)	2.5	2.6	
Effectiveness	3.1	3.2	3.3	3.4							
Sustainability	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.7				

Persons interviewed	Number
EC services	
Partner country	
Implementing partner	
Final Beneficiaries	
Other	

Key documents	Number
Essential Project/Programme documents	
Other documents	

1. Relevance

Select: Good /
Very good Problems Serious
deficiencies

1.1. Does the action presently respond to the needs of the **target groups / end beneficiaries**?

1.2. Is the action adapted to the present institutional, human, financial **capacities of the partner government and/or other key stakeholder(s)**?

1.3. Do all key stakeholders still demonstrate effective commitment (**ownership**)?

1.4. Is there an effective government led system of sector coordination (including Capacity Development) involving the relevant local stakeholders and donors?

1.5. Are there any **complementarity issues** with other ongoing/planned action(s) (including Capacity Development) managed by donors that need to be addressed?

1.6. Have all **relevant circumstances and risks** been taken into account to update the **intervention logic**?

1.7. Indicators

a) Are the indicators well defined and relevant to measure the achievement of the objectives?

b) Are all related data available?

c) Are all indicators sex-disaggregated, if relevant?

d) Are baselines set and updated for each indicator?

e) Are targets values set and are they realistic or do they need to be updated?

Conclusion

2. Efficiency

Select: Good / Very good Problems Serious deficiencies

2.1. Have the chosen **implementation mechanisms** (incl. choice of implementation modalities, entities and contractual arrangements) proved to be conducive for achieving the expected results?

2.2. Do partner government and other partners in the country effectively **steer the action?**

2.3. Inputs

a) Do the resources funded by the action and actually made available correspond to the needs of the action?

b) To what degree are other resources which are not EU funded made available?

c) To what degree are resources (inputs) available on time from other stakeholders?

2.4. Delays

a) If there are delays, how important are they and what are the consequences?

b) What are the reasons for these delays and to what extent have appropriate corrective measures been implemented?

c) To what extent has the planning been revised accordingly?

2.5. Have the outputs been produced/delivered in a cost-efficient manner?

2.6. Is the action adequately monitored by implementing partners, partner government(s) and other key stakeholders?

Conclusion

3. Effectiveness

Select: Good /
Very good Problems Serious
deficiencies

3.1. Is the **progress** of each **output** conforming to plan?

3.2. Is the **quality of outputs** (including those of CD support) satisfactory?

3.3. Are the outputs (including CD) still likely to lead to the **expected outcomes**?

3.4. Does the action effectively support the **partner's policy and actions**?

Conclusion

4. Sustainability

Select: Good /
Very good Problems Serious
deficiencies

4.1. Are key stakeholders acquiring the necessary **institutional and human capacities** to ensure the continued flow of benefits?

4.2. Is the role of the EUD/HQ in the management and the monitoring of the operation sufficiently respectful of the **leading role of the partners** in order to enhance their capacities?

4.3. Is **access to the benefits** affordable for **target groups** on the long term?

4.4. Have the **relevant authorities** taken the **financial measure** to ensure the continuation of services after the end of the action?

4.5. Has the **private sector** been involved to ensure the sustainability of the action?

4.6. Have the necessary measures been taken to address the **environmental sustainability**?

4.7. Have the necessary measures been taken into account to enhance the **role of women?**

Conclusion

5. Horizontal issues

5.1. To what extent have recommendations raised in QSG/previous ROM/ or previous evaluations been taken into account?

5.2. Have the **communication and visibility** actions been implemented in an appropriate manner?

5.3. Are there **good practices** inherent to the project which could be useful to share beyond the project context?

