Action (Project/Programme) evaluation – Quality Assessment Grid Final Report

Evaluation data						
Evaluation title						
Evaluation managed by			Type of evaluation			
CRIS ref. of the evaluation contract			EVAL ref.			
Evaluation budget						
EUD/Unit in charge			Evaluation Manager			
Evaluation dates	Start:		End:			
Date of draft final report			Date of Response of the Services			
Comments						
Project data						
Main project evaluated		iginal adule				
CRIS # of evaluated project(s)		the original mode				
DAC Sector	1080 the EVA					
Contractor's details down and the contractor of						
Evaluation Team Leader	Evaluation Contractor					
Evaluation expert(s)	expert(s) eff - Pleasuration					
Legend: scores and their meaning						
Very satisfactory: criterion entirely fulfilled in a clear and appropriate way Unsatisfactory: criterion partly fulfilled Very satisfactory: criterion partly fulfilled						
<u>very unsatisfactory</u> : criterion mostly not fulfilled or absent						
Comments Main project evaluated CRIS # of evaluated project(s) DAC Sector Contractor's details Evaluation Team Leader Evaluation expert(s) Legend: scores and their meaning Very satisfactory: criterion entirely fulfilled in a clear and appropriate way Satisfactory: criterion mostly not fulfilled or absent The evaluation report is assessed as follows 1. Clarity of the report						
1. Clarity of the report						
This criterion analyses the extent to which both the Executive Summary and the Final Report: • Are easily readable, understandable and accessible to the relevant target readers • Highlight the key messages						

- The length of the various chapters and annexes of the Report are well balanced Contain relevant graphs, tables and charts facilitating understanding
- Contain a list of acronyms (only the Report)
- Avoid unnecessary duplications
- Have been language checked for unclear formulations, misspelling and grammar errors

 The Executive Summary is an appropriate summary of the full report and is a free-standing document

Strengths	Weaknesses	Score

Contractor's comments	Contractor's comments	
Reliability of data and robustness of evidence		
 nis criterion analyses the extent to which: Data/evidence was gathered as defined in the methodology The report considers, when relevant, evidence from EU and/or other partners' relevant The report contains a clear description of the limitations of the evidence, the risks of 		(i)
Strengths	Weaknesses	Score
Contractor's comments	Contractor's comments	
	sol Qraule	
Validity of Findings	o original moduli	
Contractor's comments Validity of Findings is criterion analyses the extent to which: Findings derive from the evidence gathered Findings address all selected evaluation criteria Findings result from an appropriate triangulation of different, clearly identified source When assessing the effect of the EU intervention, the findings describe and explain The analysis of evidence is comprehensive and takes into consideration contextual and contractor's comment Strengths Contractor's comment	the most relevant takese/effect links between outputs, outcomes and impacts	(i)
Strengths Praft - Prevaluat	Weaknesses	Score
Contractor's commerce y	Contractor's comments	
Validity of conclusions		
 criterion analyses the extent to which: Conclusions are logically linked to the findings, and go beyond them to provide a co Conclusions appropriately address the selected evaluation criteria and all the evaluation Conclusions take into consideration the various stakeholder groups of the evaluation Conclusions are coherent and balanced (i.e. they present a credible picture of both (If relevant) whether the report indicates when there are not sufficient findings to con 	ation questions, including the relevant cross-cutting dimensions n strengths and weaknesses), and are free of personal or partisan considerations	(i)
	Weaknesses	Score

Contractor's comments	Contractor's comments				
5. Usefulness of recommendations					
This criterion analyses the extent to which the recommendations:	(i)				
Strengths	Weaknesses	Score			
	J QAG				
Contractor's comments	Control Nomment du				
	the ons AL III				
Contractor's comments Contractor's comments					
 This criterion is to be assessed only when requested by the ToR or included by evaluate Lessons are identified When relevant, they are generalised in terms of wider relevance (graff exhibitation(s)) 	is not to be schied. It analyses the extent to which:	(i)			
Strengths off - Plant	Weaknesses				
Drais evalue					
Contractor's comments	Contractor's comments				
Final comments on the overall quality of the report	Overall score				