



Effects of Cash Transfers on Protection & Wellbeing outcomes for Women and Children

Amber Peterman, Tia Palermo, Jacobus de Hoop UNICEF Office of Research – Innocenti & Transfer Project

October 24, 2018: CPC, WB Gender, Alliance CPHA webinar





Transfer Project: Partners & motivation

- Created 2009 as an Institutional Partnership between UNICEF, FAO, UNC
- Working in close collaboration with national counterparts, including national governments & research institutions
- Objectives:
- Provide rigorous evidence on the effectiveness of large-scale national cash transfer programs
- Use evidence to inform the development & design of programs/policies via dialogue & learning



Learn more on our website: https://transfer.cpc.unc.edu/





"From Evidence to Action"



Overview of the webinar

- Presentation 1 (Amber): Review of impacts of cash transfers on Intimate Partner Violence (IPV), Social Safety Nets and Childhood Violence
- Presentation 2 (Tia): Impacts of integrated social protection on IPV: The case of Ghana's LEAP
- Presentation 3 (Jacob): Cash transfers for education of displaced children
- Questions & discussion





Mixed method review of cash transfers on IPV: Overall Aims

- Review quantitative and qualitative evidence linking cash transfers (CT) & IPV, focusing on mechanisms underlying impacts
- Build a program theory linking CT and IPV
- 3) Propose promising program design features and research gaps needed to further understand linkages/leverage potential of CTs



World Bank Research Observer 33(2): https://doi.org/10.1093/wbro/lky002





Study Design

- Studies identified via: scoping, expert interviews, electronic databases, forward and backward citation
- Inclusion criteria (14 quantitative & 8 qualitative):
 - Published or grey literature completed before June 2017
 - IPV: Physical, sexual, emotional, psychological, controlling behaviors between marital/cohabiting/dating partners
 - CT: CCTs, UCTs, one-time lump transfers, bundled
 - Quantitative if utilized an experimental or quasi-experimental design, including a rigorously defined counterfactual
 - Qualitative if methodology sufficiently rigorous to be assessed as credible using the COREQ assessment tool





Program characteristics (22 studies)

Program type

Geographic Region



- Nearly all programs targeted women (*Kenya, South Africa)
- 10 quantitative & 3 qualitative Govt run

- 'Plus': In-kind transfer; Education, health sector linkages; trainings; behavior change communication
- Multiple in Mexico, Ecuador, Peru, Uganda

High-level results: Impacts



Quantitative indicators [56 total by IPV type]



- Majority of studies (73%) showed decreases, impacts stronger for physical/sexual
- Overall 36% of quant indicators significant & negative; 2% significant & positive



CONTEXT: Gender regimes, social norms, laws and policy



ECONOMIC SECURITY

AND EMOTIONAL

WELL-BEING

"Well, I think that it [relationship with partner] improved a lot, because as we were saying, the way to a man's heart is his stomach, so the basic food improves the relationship, and the family gets integrated..."

> Male from FGD in Cash, Food & Voucher Transfers plus nutrition training in Northern Ecuador (Buller et al. 2016)

4 quantitative and 5 qualitative supported pathway

 Linear linkages well supported by large body of rigorous literature









"There had been many fights. Because children needed many things that we could not have afforded. I asked my husband and he used to say there is no money. Then I used to get upset and started to yell. We had many fights because of poverty. Not only for us, for all poor, fights come from suffering"

Female from IDI in CCT plus in-kind transfers in Turkey (Yidrim et al. 2014)

• 0 quantitative and 4 qualitative supported pathway

Linear linkages supported by reviews and select studies









"Earlier, ... my husband would sometimes sell household items without consulting me. But now that I have my own money, I can have a say on how to spend income. ..With the money, a woman may buy seedlings for planting, and hire an ox-plough or tractor or casual labor to dig for her. In case of GBV, the man cannot complain that the woman has made-off with his money or his crops from the garden."

Female from IDI in UCT in Northern Uganda (Nuwakora 2014)

In the second second

Large body of literature with mixed/inconclusive findings





Program design features

- Intra-HH relationships are key: design features to allow women to retain control (messaging, frequency, size of transfer) without overtly challenging male role of breadwinner & head of household
- Woman as transfer recipient appears important, but few tests of this theory
- Plus components potential for synergies (and driver) of reductions in IPV, however cost of implementation must be considered—no evidence on these trade offs





Conclusions & research gaps

- Strong evidence suggest CTs are proven 'structural' prevention complements to dedicated vertical programming
- Geographic and program design gaps (ability to attribute transfer recipient & plus components)
- Better measurement and analysis of mechanisms
- Need for more mixed-methods evaluations, and measurement of dynamics over the long(er)-term
- Cost-effectiveness measures needed to compare relative to other (vertical/dedicated) programming





What about violence against children?

Measurement more complex:

- Appropriate & specific violence measures vary across age ranges
- Ethical issues more acute

Mechanisms more complex:

- Violence in different spaces (home, school, & labor settings)
- Violence from different perpetrators (household & strangers)
- More indirect pathways



Health Policy & Planning 32(7): https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czx033





Overall results: 57 indicators (11 studies)



- Homicide
- Dating violence
- Physical against minors
- Violent discipline
- Peer bullying
- Sexual exploitation
- Sexual abuse

- In total, 19% represent protective effects of SSNs (no adverse effects)
- Regionally grouped sexual violence from adolescent studies in SSA, violent discipline from LAC
- Only one mixedmethods study (Palestine)





Social safety nets for childhood violence reduction?

- Results are promising for protecting adolescent girls against sexual exploitation & abuse, less so for other types of violence
- Many evidence gaps: regional, program type—lack of comprehensive studies showing how SSNs can affect multiple types of risk for children (including in different settings, witnessing IPV, frequency or severity of violence)
- Mechanisms are diverse: schooling, caregiver stress, adolescent risk behaviors, exposure to high-risk environments, girls empowerment.
- Lack of studies able to test plus components, including links to integrating child and social protection systems.









Impacts of integrated social protection on IPV: The case of Ghana's LEAP

unite for children





Ghana LEAP 1000

- Examine whether a government social protection program targeted to extremely poor, rural households with pregnant and recently pregnant women in Ghana had an impact on past-year prevalence and frequency of IPV;
- Through which pathways;
- Assess if family structure matters (polygamous v. monogamous)

Peterman A, Valli E, Palermo T, On Behalf of the LEAP 1000 Evaluation Team. "Government Anti-Poverty Programming and Intimate Partner Violence in Ghana." *Under review.*



LEAP 1000 program

- Part of government-led, nationwide unconditional cash transfer program: Livelihood Empowerment Against Poverty (LEAP) program
- Program objectives: 1) Alleviate short-term poverty and 2) Encourage long-term human capital development
 - LEAP 1000 specific focus on nutrition and stunting
- Targets pregnant women or women with a child <1 year</p>
- Bi-monthly cash transfer [approx. GH¢76 (EUR14)] and health insurance (NHIS) premium waiver
- Initial coverage of LEAP 1000: 6,220 households in 10 districts in Northern Ghana





LEAP 1000: Evaluation Design and sample

- 2-year mixed method, quasiexperimental, longitudinal study
- 8,058 households targeted by government and 3,619 deemed eligible
- Baseline (Jul-Sept 2015), Endline (Jul-Sept 2017)
 - Female enumerators, interviews conducted in privacy
- Final evaluation sample N=2,497 households (1,262 T and 1,235 C)

Final analysis sample: N=2,083 women (1,060 T & 1,023 C)



Districts: Yendi, Karaga, East Mamprusi, Bongo Garu Tempane

Measures: IPV Outcomes

- Based on modified Conflict Tactics Scale
- Any experience in prior 12 months
- Frequency in prior 12 months (0=never, 1=sometimes, 2=often for each item; standardized)
 - Controlling behaviors, 7 questions (any; frequency 0 7)
 - Emotional IPV, 4 questions (any; frequency range 0 8)
 - Physical IPV, 7 questions (any; frequency range 0 14)
 - Sexual IPV, 2 questions (any; frequency range 0 4)
 - Emotional/Physical/Sexual IPV, 13 questions (any; frequency range 0 – 26)





LEAP 1000: Impacts on IPV

Overall IPV experience

•No reductions in overall experience of IPV among full sample

•5-8 percentage point decreases in overall IPV experience among monogamous sample only (emotional, physical & combined emotional/physical/sexual)

Frequency of IPV

•0.09-0.11 standard deviation decrease of IPV frequency in full sample (emotional, physical & combined emotional/physical/sexual)

- Larger decreases among monogamous sample (0.11 0.12 SD emotional, physical & combined)
- Women in polygamous union at increased risk of IPV overall





LEAP 1000: Summary of pathway impacts

- Positive impacts on:
 - Economic security (less likely to be poor and extremely poor; 3-5 pp)
 - Monthly expenditures (~ 7 Cedis AE)
 - Monthly food expenditures (~ 5-6 Cedis AE)
 - Locus of control (monogamous women)
 - Savings

Office of

- Social support
- Valid NHIS card; health seeking behavior

- No impacts on:
 - Self perceived stress
 - Life satisfaction
 - Partner drinking
 - Expenditures on alcohol
 - Women's decision-making
 - agency



Discussion & conclusion

- LEAP 1000 reduced frequency of emotional, physical, any IPV measures in the full sample but not overall experience of IPV.
 - Driven by monogamous sample (also reductions in overall experience)
 - No impacts among polygamous sample, which is at highest risk of IPV
- Pathways include economic standing/emotional wellbeing and women's empowerment
- Limitations: Local average treatment effects, underreporting possible
- Results underscore that cash transfers can have improve wellbeing beyond primary program objectives
- Findings indicate that family structure matters in designing programs

 yet we know little about why or how (more research needed +
 qualitative inquiry)









Cash transfers for education of displaced children

unite for children



Cash transfers in humanitarian settings

- ODI & CGDev (2015) Doing Cash Diferently >> Give more unconditional cash transfers in humanitarian settings:
 - Costs are relatively low
 - Expenditure in local markets
 - Allow recipients to use cash flexibly
- Grand Bargain (2016 & 2018) >> "Increase the use and coordination of cash-based programming":
 - 30+ biggest donors and aid providers
 - Significant progress





Need for evidence

• Need for evidence:

•ODI & CGDev (2015): "Systematically analyse and benchmark other humanitarian responses against cash"

• World Bank (2016): "Develop a global research strategy to fill evidence gaps on the relative performance of transfer modalities"

• Why?

- Limited rigorous evidence (Doocy and Tappis, 2016)
- Effects may differ from transfers in stable settings
- UNICEF Office of Research Innocenti aims to help fill the gap
 - Recent workshop and publications: <u>Social protection in contexts of fragility</u> and forced displacement
 - This presentation: Challenges encountered by refugees in use of cash





Examples: Cash response of UNICEF & partners in Syrian displacement crisis

- Lebanon: No Lost Generation program / Min Ila
- Jordan: Hajati program







Study Lebanon NLG

- Comparison: 1500 households in governorates with and without the program (geographical RDD)
- Data collection:
 - Baseline: before start NLG
 - Midline: 16/17 schoolyear
 - Endline: 17/18 schoolyear







Positive impacts & capacity constraints

- Positive impacts on important domains, such as:
 - Education expenditure
 - Health and mental wellbeing
 - Household chores
 - Attendance
- But... rapid increase in enrollment put education system under strain
- Dampened impacts on school enrollment







- "We won't end up with household chores. You need to take advantage of education and time you have to study." (school girl in Akkar)
- "The child is [now] contented and relaxed. He feels he is equal to the other kids. He has his own uniform, his bag, sometimes if his copybook finishes he can buy another one ... so the child feels more at ease and is not pressured." (Teacher in Mt. Lebanon)
- "It's too crowded. Between Barja, Naamah, Rmeileh regions, the surroundings, it's full," "There are plenty on the waiting list; the Ministry is working on that." (Principal in Mt. Lebanon)





Study Jordan Hajati

- Due to funding constraints, the Hajati program is being scaled down
- Inter-Agency Standing Committee (2016): This is not uncommon:
 - Limited predictability of donor funding leads to "a short-term programming focus" and "start-stop operations with sub-optimal execution"
- Questions: What are the impacts of (removing):
 - cash support?
 - information on school attendance?
- Ambitious cluster-randomized study ongoing
- Stay tuned for results!







Meda ase Asante Zikomo Shukran Thank you Grazie!

> Ghana LEAP 1000 (© Michelle Mills)

For more information

- Transfer Project website: <u>www.cpc.unc.edu/projects/transfer</u>
- UNICEF Office of Research—Innocenti: <u>https://www.unicef-irc.org/</u>
- Facebook: https://www.facebook.com/TransferProject
- Twitter: @TransferProjct





©FAO/Ivan Grifi

Works cited

- Buller AM, Hidrobo M, Peterman A & Heise L. 2016. The way to a man's heart is through his stomach?: a mixed methods study on casual mechanisms through which cash and in-kind food transfers decreased intimate partner violence. *BMC Public Health* 16: 488.
- Doocy, S., & Tappis, H. 2016. Cash-based approaches in humanitarian emergencies: a systematic review, 3ie Systematic Review Report 28. London: International Initiative for Impact Evaluation (3ie).
- Inter-Agency Standing Committee (IASC) Humanitarian Financing Task Team (HFTT). 2016. 'Donor Conditions and Their Implications for Humanitarian Response', IASC HFTT,
 https://interagencystandingcommittee.org/system/files/20160416_donor_conditions_study_final_0.pdf> (accessed 24 August 2018).
- Nuwakora CB. 2014. Combating gender-based violence and enhancing economic empowerment of women in Northern Uganda through cash transfers. External Evaluation. ACF International.
- ODI and Center for Global Development. 2015. 'Doing Cash Differently: How Cash Transfers Can Transform Humanitarian Aid', ODI, <<u>www.odi.org/sites/odi.org.uk/files/odi-assets/publications-opinion-files/9828.pdf</u>> (accessed 24 August 2018).
- Peterman A, Valli E, Palermo T, On Behalf of the LEAP 1000 Evaluation Team. 2018. Government Anti-Poverty Programming and Intimate Partner Violence in Ghana. *Under review.*
- World Bank Group. (2016). Strategic Note: Cash Transfers in Humanitarian Contexts. Prepared for the Principles of the Inter-Agency Standing Committee. World Bank: Washington D.C.
- Yildirim, J., Ozdemir, S., & Sezgin, F. 2014. A Qualitative Evaluation of a Conditional Cash Transfer Program in Turkey: The Beneficiaries' and Key Informants' Perspectives. *Journal of Social Service Research*, 40(1), 62-79.



Acknowledgements

- Cash & IPV: This work was supported by an anonymous donor. Salary support for Peterman was provided by the UK Department for International Development (DIFD). We thank Giulia Ferrari, Johannes Haushofer, Deborah Hines, Mazeda Hossain, Elizaveta Perova, Tia Palermo, Audrey Pettifor, Jeremy Shapiro, Jo Spangaro, Sedona Sweeney, Seema Vyas and Geoff Wong for helpful discussions at project inception. We thank Jane Sheppard for graphic design assistance and Michael Naranjo for grant administrative support.
- SSN & VAC: This work was supported by the Oak Foundation via the Network of European Foundations, facilitated by the Know Violence in Childhood Initiative. Salary support for Amber Peterman and Tia Palermo provided to UNICEF Office of Research— Innocenti by the DIFD and the Swedish International Development Cooperation. We would like to thank the following individuals for their expert consultations and review of this paper: AK Shiva Kumar, Alina Potts, Audrey Pereira, Audrey Pettifor, Bernadette Madrid, Heidi Loening-Voysey, Jacob de Hoop, Jasmina Byrne, Joshua Chaffin, Kathryn Maguire Jack, Leah Prencipe, Lorraine Sherr, Mary Catherine Maternowska, Matthew Morton, Mayke Huijbregts, Meghna Ranganathan, Paola Pereznieto, Rachael Sabates-Wheeler, Ramya Subrahmanian, Richard de Groot, Simone Cecchini, Sudhanshu Handa, Tomoo Okubo and Vivien Stern, as well as authors of the reviewed papers. We are grateful for support on grant administration from Laura Meucci, Michelle Godwin, Prerna Banati and Cinzia Bruschi of the UNICEF Office of Research—Innocenti.
- Ghana LEAP: We are grateful for the support of the Government of Ghana for the implementation of this evaluation, in particular William Niyuni, Mawutor Ablo and Richard Adjetey from the Ministry of Gender, Children and Social Protection. In addition, the UNICEF Ghana team was instrumental to the success of this report: Sara Abdoulayi, Luigi Peter Ragno, Jennifer Yablonski, Sarah Hague, Maxwell Yiryele Kuunyem, Tayllor Spadafora, Christiana Gbedemah and Jonathan Nasonaa Zakaria. We would also like to acknowledge the hard-working field teams of ISSER and NHRC, who conducted the data collection for this study to the highest standards. Funding for the evaluation was generously provided by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) and the Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA). Additional funding to include intimate partner violence modules in the evaluation and to produce this paper was received from an Anonymous donor and the American World Jewish Services by the UNICEF Office of Research—Innocenti via the US Fund for UNICEF. We thank Laura Meucci and Michelle Kate Godwin for grant administrative support and Julia Behrman, Tomoe Bourdier, Rachel Heath and participants at the 2018 PAA and WEAI for helpful comments on earlier versions.



Acknowledgements (cont.)

Lebanon: We thank UNICEF Lebanon for the opportunity to carry out this study and for its financial and technical • support. We would further like to recognize the many individuals and organizations without whom it would not have been possible to complete this study. We thank the Program Management Unit of the Reaching All Children with Education project in Lebanon's Ministry of Education and Higher Education, including Sonia Khoury, Bane Khalife, Georges Ghassan, and Maroun Hobeika; the UNICEF Middle East and North Africa Regional Office; OoR; the United Nations (UN) Refugee Agency; the World Food Programme (Jordi Renart and Soha Moussa); and Statistics Lebanon for technical and/or financial support at various stages of this project. Our special thanks go to Violet Speek-Warnery, Georges Haddad, Sharlene Ramkissoon, Jamil El Khoury, Juan Santander, Maxime Bazin, Abed Alrahman Faour, Louisa Lippi, and Georges Fares in UNICEF Lebanon for their technical support during the research design and implementation of the fieldwork. We thank Rabih Haber, Hanane Lahoud, and Elie Joukhadar of Statistics Lebanon and Mohammed Elmeski of AIR for their support during the implementation of the fieldwork. We gratefully acknowledge feedback on the initial evaluation design by members of the UNICEF OoR Research Review Group, including, in particular, Sudhanshu Handa (now at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill) and Amber Peterman. Our acknowledgments would be incomplete without mentioning our team of very capable research assistants. AIR's Kevin Kamto and Victoria Rothbard provided invaluable data support. We also acknowledge the input of the team of data collectors and supervisors from Statistics Lebanon, whose dedication during data collection ensured that the data collected were of high quality. The patience exercised by the Syrian refugee households, community leaders, and community members during interviews is also gratefully acknowledged. It is our hope that the insights from the information they provided will translate into valuable support for their communities.

