

GENERAL INFORMATION

- Status: public
- Date of approval: 11 September 2018 (2018 2020)
- update of a previous Roadmap: No (previous RM exists but has relatively different approach)
- Approved by Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Germany, Estonia, France, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Hungary, Netherlands, Austria, Poland, Romania, Slovakia, Finland, Sweden, United Kingdom and EU.

PART I – BRIEF ANALYSIS OF THE CONTEXT AND PAST EU ENGAGEMENT

- THE STATE OF CS: BRIEF UPDATE ON RECENT DEVELOPMENTS (i.e. the EE, CS involvement in domestic policies and CD, including in each of the priority area/sector of cooperation)

Civil society development in Belarus is currently by large hindered by the **non-conducive environment** and by **civil society's own low capacity**. The **legal status** and activities of CSOs are strictly regulated in Belarus and the **registration** of an organisation is a complicated and arbitrary procedure. State registration of foreign assistance is likewise mandatory. The process is extremely burdensome and may take up to 12 months (eight on average).

Most Belarusian CSOs are **financially dependent on foreign funding**. There is a small number of CSOs which base their activities and decision making upon strategic plans and have the capacity to perform their role sustainably. Overall and on local level in particular, **CSOs lack in strategic planning and other capacities**. There are several GONGOs in the country, however the role of GONGOs is not dominant. **Social contracting** exists and is being used in growing quantity in the regions. Some critical voices call all CSOs participating to this arrangement GONGOs as well, which gives wrong picture about the amount and importance of the GONGOs. There are a couple of national level co-ordination **platforms** and networks of CSOs (and several sector networks), but their effectiveness is partly hindered by **competing priorities**.

The lack of dialogue and mistrust between different groups of society and the Government, and the lack of public participation in the decision-making process remain critical challenges for Belarus. Belarus continues to be a country with centralized decision-making processes and low inclusiveness as well as a lack of feedback mechanisms from the population.

Still, the Belarusian authorities recognize the need to engage with civil society. The Government of Belarus' 2015 Action Programme included objectives to formulate recommendations on public procurement of social services; to strengthen youth and children's organizations, engaging them in the design and implementation of the national youth policy; and to ensure a greater role for public councils (comprising both state and CSO representatives), identifying national priorities and drafting laws and regulations.

In February 2015, the Government approved its **National Strategy for the Social and Economic Development of Belarus through 2030**. The strategy places special emphasis on civil society institutions, including the need to establish an enabling legal environment for civil society, improve mechanisms for systematic cooperation between CSOs and Government bodies, and develop proper infrastructure and mechanisms to support volunteerism and civic initiatives.

Moreover, on 24 October 2016, the Council of Ministers adopted the "Interagency Action Plan 2016-2019" (also known as **National Human Rights Action Plan – NHRAP**) as the key instrument to implement recommendations of the Universal Periodic Review. The NHRAP underpins the process of domestic reform and legislative amendments. It has specific provisions to strengthen the role of public advisory councils and public associations in the decision making procedures. The plan has also specific provision to improve the mechanisms of expanding the use of the social contracting to support the activities of non-governmental organizations specializing in the provision of social



services to the population. The validity of the NHRAP expires in 2019 and one of the challenges is to track real impact of it and to ensure the commitment of the Government to prepare successor for the NHRAP.

The CSO organisations have had and they still have important role in supporting the implementation of objectives in the field of "Economic development and market opportunities". In this regards, the CSOs have had essential role in the regions, creating employment and income generating activities. Regional and economic development has been a key priority of the EU bilateral co-operation in 2011-2017. Though it is not seen as key cooperation sector in 2018-2020, engagement of regions and territories remains an important focus of cooperation. CSOs continue serving in this role still in the ongoing projects, and through Civil Society programmes - notably Development and Cooperation Instrument / thematic programme to support Civil Society) as cross cutting issue.

The role of CSOs in the field of "Strengthening Institutions and good governance" is limited. Although regulatory framework in principle would allow CSOs participating in decision making, the mutual mistrust prevent it taking place. Support to more participatory decision making procedures is one of EU's leading themes in this sector in 2018-2020.

CSOs have created important partnerships with Local Authorities in the field of "Connectivity, energy efficiency, environment and climate change". Belarus is active in "Covenant of Mayors" and environmental themes have been also strongly present in the thematic programme "Support to Civil Society and Local Authorities". Belarusian CSOs have done successful coaching and mentoring work with their Local Authority partners, and this is the sector that EU wishes to continue working in 2018-2020.

CSOs are contributing to "Mobility and people-to-people contacts" between EU and Belarus mainly through the Cross Border Cooperation (CBC) programmes, which bring together CSOs and local authorities in the border regions at Belarus and EU sides of the border. Furthermore, EU has provided mobility support directly to Belarusian citizens through MOST programme and for students and academic staff through the Erasmus+ and European Scholarship scheme implemented by the Nordic Council of Ministers Programme. Belarusian researchers have also participated in Horizon 2020 programme. This has proven to be successful approach and it is foreseen to continue in the same way also in the coming years.

- LESSONS LEARNT FROM THE EU ENGAGEMENT SO FAR (i.e. dialogue, operational support, EU coordination and division of labour, negotiation of partnership priorities/SSF)

Taking into account that donor co-ordination generally speaking is on modest level in Belarus, the results of the implementation of "EU Roadmap for engagement with civil society 2014-2017" are modest too. The document was created in 2014 and (very slightly) updated in 2015, and it was shared with all EU Member States present in Minsk for information and to be taken into account their programming/implementation as far as possible.

On EU's side the Roadmap 2014-2017 priorities were taken into account when formulating the priorities of the Call for proposals "Supporting Capacity Building and Partnership of Civil Society and Local Authorities in Belarus" (launched on 3 March 2017 with closing date 1 June 2017).

RELEVANT REFERENCES AND SOURCES TO DEEPEN THE UNDERSTANDING ON THE STATE OF CS AND EU
ENGAGEMENT WITH CS

Belarusian National Platform of the Eastern Partnership Civil Society Forum http://eng.npbelarus.info/

Civil Society Organization (CSO) Sustainability Index for Central and Eastern Europe and Eurasia 2010-2016 https://www.usaid.gov/europe-eurasia-civil-society

Human Rights Watch - World Report 2018



https://www.hrw.org/world-report/2018/country-chapters/belarus

Mapping Study "Belarus Civil Society Organisations In Cross-Sectoral Dialogue" http://belngo.info/2015.mapping-study-belarus-civil-society-organisations-in-cross-sectoral-dialogue-was-prepared.html

Nations in Transit 2018 – Freedom house country report on Belarus https://freedomhouse.org/report/nations-transit/2018/belarus

Projects financed by the European Union in Belarus http://euprojects.by/

United Nations Human Rights Office of the High Commissioner / Belarus homepage https://www.ohchr.org/EN/countries/ENACARegion/Pages/BYIndex.aspx

Universal Periodic Review (UPR) recommendations for Belarus https://www.upr-info.org/en/review/Belarus

"Interagency Action Plan 2016-2019" (also known as National Human Rights Action Plan – NHRAP) – in Russian only

http://www.government.by/upload/docs/file706bbd75fa0cca0e.PDF



PART II – EU STRATEGY AND ACTION PLAN TO ENGAGE WITH CSOs

PRIORITIES FOR EU ENGAGEMENT WITH CS	TARGETS OF EU ENGAGEMENT WITH CS	ACTIONS/ACTIVITIES (analysis, policy dialogue, financial or non- financial support)	INDICATIVE MEANS (programmes/instruments, etc.)			
General EU engagement with civil society						
To promote realization of Fundamental Rights ie - Freedom of Association - Freedom of expression - Freedom for peaceful assembly	- The space to operate for CSOs and individuals is enhanced The authorities ease the pressure on civil society The authorities simplify and ease the legislation on registration of organisations and funds / international technical assistance projects.	Policy dialogue - Public and silent diplomacy Financial support - Support to initiatives promoting basic freedoms and supporting human rights defenders	EU-Belarus Coordination Group and Human Rights Dialogue Political statements (where necessary) Demarches (where necessary) European Instrument for Democracy and Human Rights (EIDHR) - Ongoing projects supporting Human Rights Defenders - It is foreseen that EIDHR 2020 allocation (EUR 1.1 million) is used to support Human Rights Defenders. These funds are foreseen to be made available through Call for proposals in the last quarter of 2019. European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI) / Programme to Enhance Professional Reporting and Free Flow of Information in Belarus - This programme is currently in drafting stage. If adopted, it will make EUR 2 million available via Call for proposals for independent media / CSOs working for independent media - Foreseen launch date of the Call for proposals: second trimester 2019. Media Academy at the IBB "Johannes Rau" Minsk			
	To promote realization of Fundamental Rights ie - Freedom of Association - Freedom of expression - Freedom for	To promote realization of Fundamental Rights ie - Freedom of Association - Freedom for peaceful assembly Find ENGAGEMENT WITH CS - The space to operate for CSOs and individuals is enhanced. - The authorities ease the pressure on civil society. - The authorities simplify and ease the legislation on registration of organisations and funds / international technical assistance	To promote realization of Fundamental Rights ie - Freedom of Association - Freedom for peaceful assembly - The authorities simplify and ease the legislation on registration of organisations and funds / international technical assistance - EU ENGAGEMENT WITH CS (analysis, policy dialogue, financial or nonfinancial support) (Policy dialogue - Public and silent diplomacy - Support to initiatives promoting basic freedoms and supporting human rights defenders			



	To promote capacity development of local civil society	- CSOs performance base on long term planning / activities have sustainable elements - CSOs act more united and co- ordinated manner - CSOs became more financially stable and independent through implementation of alternative	Financial support - Support to initiatives with component(s) on organisational capacity building - Allowing/favouring long implementation period which allows CSOs for long term planning of their activities - Obligation/strong recommendation for partnerships - Obligation for co-financing obliges to seek various ways of financing and ensure true Belarusian ownership of programmes	Belarusian journalists with European standards, methods and developments of the modern media landscape All EU programmes working with Civil Society Organisations All EU programmes working with Civil Society Organisations All EU programmes working with Civil Society Organisations
		alternative financial models (closer work with CSR businesses,		
		crowdfunding, development of social entrepreneurship, etc.)		
Engagement with ci	vil society in priority	sector "Strengthening	g Institutions and good governance"	
Belarus continues to be a country with centralized	To promote social justice in line with Sustainable	Increased participation of CSO in general	Policy dialogue	EU-Belarus Coordination Group and Human Rights Dialogue
decision-making	Development	and vulnerable	Financial Support	European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI) / EU



processes and low inclusiveness as well as a country that lacks of feedback mechanisms from the population.	Goals To empower civil society in general and underrepresented or particularly affected target groups in particular to take part in decision	groups in particular to decision making processes		Good Governance programme - The main focus of the programme is to promote more participatory decision making procedure in Belarus. - This programme is currently in drafting stage, and if adopted it will make EUR 5.6 million available for CSO to implement these objectives (total budget of the programme is EUR 10 million under ENI 2017 budget).
	making processes			 Development and Co-operation Instrument / Support to Civil Society Organisations (DCI-CSO) It is foreseen that DCI-CSO 2018-2019 allocations (EUR 5 million) support CSO actions with Climate Change and Youth components. These funds will be made available through two Calls for proposals: EUR 2 million in the Call which is foreseen to be launched in the last quarter of 2018. EUR 3 million in the Call which is foreseen to be launched in the second half of 2019.
				Belarus Support Programme at the IBB "Johannes Rau" Minsk 23 projects financed under the current phase 2017–2019
The implementation of recommendations	To promote those provisions of the National Human Rights Action Plan	Clear results in the implementation of NHRAP 2016-2019, preparation	Financial Support	European Instrument for Democracy and Human Rights (EIDHR) It is foreseen that EIDHR 2018-2019 allocations (EUR 1.9 million) support implementation of EU



of the Universal Periodic Review (UPR) lags behind	(NHRAP) that are in line with the EU Human Rights Guidelines and UPR recommendations . To promote those provisions of the National Gender Action Plan (NGAP) that are in line with the EU Human Rights	of NHRAP for 2019 onwards Clear progress in the implementation of NGAP, notably those points coinciding with EU Human Rights	human rights guidelines in the country, notably in the areas which are also considered as priority in the NHRAP. It is foreseen to make these funds available through Call for proposals in the last quarter of 2018. Development and Co-operation Instrument / Support to Civil Society Organisations (DCI-CSO) It is foreseen that DCI-CSO 2018-2019 allocations (EUR 5 million) support CSO actions with Climate Change and Youth components. These funds will be made available through two Calls for proposals: 1) EUR 2 million in the Call which is foreseen to be launched in the last quarter of 2018. 2) EUR 3 million in the Call which is
	Guidelines, Sustainable Development Goals (gender equality) and UPR recommendations .	Guidelines and UPR recommendations .	foreseen to be launched in the second half of 2019. European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI) / EU Good Governance programme - The main focus of the programme is to promote more participatory decision making procedure in Belarus. - This programme is currently in drafting stage, and if adopted it will make EUR 2 million Technical Assistance facility available for the implementation of NHRAP (total budget of the programme is EUR 10 million under ENI 2017 budget).



Lack of resources	To promote	Increased	Policy dialogue component included to projects	Development and Co-operation Instrument /
in state budget to	Sustainable	awareness of		Support to Civil Society Organisations (DCI-CSO)
support	Development	SDGs		It is foreseen that DCI-CSO 2018-2019
connectivity	Goals, especially		Financial support	allocations (EUR 5 million) support CSO
energy efficiency,	those related to			actions with Climate Change and Youth
environment and	global warming,			components.
climate change	water, energy,			 These funds will be made available
	environment.			through two Calls for proposals:
				1) EUR 2 million in the Call which is
				foreseen to be launched in the last
	To promote	Increased number		quarter of 2018.
	partnerships	of CSO – Local		2) EUR 3 million in the Call which is
	between CSOs and	Authority		foreseen to be launched in the second
	Local Authorities	partnerships/proje		half of 2019.
	in Belarus for	cts to promote		
	innovative use of	"Energy efficiency,		Covenant of Mayors programme
	(financial,	environment and		- Calls for proposals co-ordinated by EU
	expertise)	climate change"		headquarters
	resources			- Currently 41 Belarusian signatories eligible for
				the Calls
				European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI) /
				Resource Efficiency Programme (REF)
				- This programme is currently in drafting stage,
				and if adopted both by the EU and Belarus, it wil
	Promoting	Increased number		make EUR 10 million available via Call for
	participation to	of Belarusian		proposals for local authorities, associations of
	Covenant of	signatories for		municipalities and local CSOs in in Brest and
	Mayors	Covenant of		Grodno regions (indicative total budget of the
	programme to	Mayors		programme is EUR 18 million).
	implement EU			- Foreseen launch date of the Call: third trimeste
	climate and			2019.
	energy objectives.			Belarus Support Programme at the IBB



Engagement with o	ivil society in priority	sector "Mobility and	people-to-people contacts"	 "Johannes Rau" Minsk projects financed on environment and energy efficiency under the current phase 2017–2019
Belarusian society poorly informed about the European Union values and left insufficiently exposed to European practices.	To promote people-to-people contacts between Belarusians and EU	Increased number of Belarusian citizens participating to study visit, internships, scholarships	Financial support - Financial support to advance regional development and people-to-people contacts at border regions (Cross Border Cooperation) - Financial support for enabling mobility of students and academic staff - Financial support for enabling mobility of professionals - Financial support for the development of migration policy issues, including conditions for labour migration, recognition of diplomas etc.	EU-Belarus mobility partnership dialogue Financial instruments: Cross Border Co-operation programme (CBC) - Programme co-ordinated / Calls launched by the EU Headquarters Various scholarship programmes - Programmes co-ordinated / Calls launched by the EU Headquarters - Annual application processes in the framework of Erasmus+ and Horizon 2020 programmes European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI) / Mobility Scheme for Targeted People-to-People Contacts (MOST) - MOST II is foreseen to run during 2018 – 2021 MOST+ is foreseen to run during 2020 – 2022. International Parliamentary Scholarship of the German Bundestag - 2-3 young Belarusians receive a five-months-scholarship each year for an internment at the German Bundestag and visit EU institutions Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst (DAAD) - provides scholarships for university



	studies/exchange programmes
	Study visits financed by the German Federal Foreign Office - study visits for groups (2018 Data Protection Guidelines, Psychotherapy and Psychoanalysis) and individuals on various topics



PART III- FOLLOW-UP ON THE PROCESS AND STRATEGY

Process indicators	Process indicators					
INDICATOR	TARGET	BASE LINE INFORMATION AND FURTHER COMMENTS				
Involvement of Member States in the RM.	Member States present in the country are actively involved in the RM process.	EU Member States present in Belarus were fully involved in Roadmap process, ie commenting the draft. The final Roadmap was adopted by HoMs on 11/09/2018				
Number and quality of consultations held. Number and diversity (in terms of location, sector, capacities) of CSOs consulted regarding the RM.	The RM entails consultations with a broad range of local CSOs. Ultimately it leads to more permanent and structured dialogue.	Two focus group consultations held on 29 June 2018 in the context of the Roadmap preparation. In addition, draft indicator matrix were sent for focus group participants for 1-week-long consultation via email. Altogether 17 CSO representatives participated in these consultations, representing various thematic areas (human rights and democracy, environment, local governance, regional development). In addition to traditional CSO representatives also media, think tanks, CSO platforms and crowd funding platforms were represented.				
Complementarity of RM vis-à-vis related EU and other Donor partners' processes.	RMs are complementary to related processes including human rights and democracy country strategies, the rights-based approach to development, gender action plans, etc.	The Roadmap goals are taken into account in annual revision of Human Rights and Democracy Country strategies. Moreover, the Roadmap supports the implementation of National Human Rights Action Plan and National Gender Action Plan as long as the priorities of these documents coincide with priorities of EU Human Rights Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review recommendations.				



Outcome indicators				
PRIORITIES	TARGET	INDICATORS	BASELINE INFORMATION (if available)	SOURCES OF INFORMATION & MEANS OF VERIFICATION
General EU engager	ment with civil society			
To promote realization of Fundamental Rights ie - Freedom of Association - Freedom of expression - Freedom for peaceful assembly	- The space to operate for CSOs and individuals is enhanced.	- Number of conflicts around performing journalistic activities (detentions, trials, fines)	At least 100 journalists have been detained (2017) At least 103 journalists have been tried At least 69 fines under Article 22.9 ("Violation of the procedure of production and distribution of mass media products") At least 12 journalists have been sentenced to short-term arrests (up to 15 days) At least 177 protesters have been arrested (2017)	 News, reports of Human Rights organisations and Belarusian Association of Journalists News, reports of Human Rights organisations and Belarusian Association of Journalists Database of Viasna organisation https://spring96.org/persecution
	- The authorities ease the pressure on civil society.	- Simplification/cancelation of the requirement to receive accreditation as journalists of foreign media for Belarusian freelance journalists	- Requirement exists	Belarusian legislation, reports of Human Rights organisations and Belarusian Association of Journalists
		- Number and type of laws that affect the conditions for the activities of	In 2018 seven laws have been discussed or are being discussed 1) Draft amendments to Decree No. 5 "On Foreign Gratuitous Aid" 2) Draft amendments to Decree No. 300 "On Internal Corporate Donations"	Belarusian legislation, reports of Human Rights organisations



	CSOs	3) Initiative of the National Bank for the development of a decree on the legal regulation of crowdfunding 4) Draft amendments to the Tax Code 5) Draft law on abrogation of Article 193.1 of the Criminal Code 6) Draft amendments to the law on mass media 7) Draft amendments to the law on mass events	
- The authorities simplify and ease the legislation on registration of organisations and funds/international technical assistance projects.	- Number of registered organisations	 2856 public associations, 39 unions of public associations and 195 foundations 2856 registered CSOs (01.01.2018). During 2017 the Ministry of Justice and regional departments of justice registered 150 new public associations and 22 new foundations 	- Ministry for Justice records on https://minjust.gov.by/directions/compare_coverage/ - Report produced by NGO Assembly on "Freedom of association and legal conditions for non-commercial organizations in Belarus" https://drive.google.com/file/d/1s04vLkJtLAVGxukdHYS SuKu2uHi8gO_y/view
			- Human Rights Watch – World Report 2018
	- Number of registered foreign funded projects/internat ional technical assistance projects.	N/a	- Ministry for Economy (registering entity) does not maintain any public database on the registered projects.
	- Number of rejections to register projects	N/a	- Ministry for Economy (registering entity) does not maintain any public database on the registered projects.
	- Length of the registration	8–12 months	- Experience of EU funded projects



		process		
To promote	- CSOs	- Improved result	4.7 (2016)	- USAID's CSO Sustainability Index for Belarus
capacity	performance more	in CSO		
development of	strategy based	Sustainability		
local civil society		Index for Belarus		
		- Number of	0	- Project documentation
		trainings organised for		
		CSOs for capacity		
		building		
		- Sanama		
				- Donor co-ordination meetings, public events organised
	- CSOs act more	- Number of	3 (BNP, Assembly of Democratic NGOs,	by CSOs
	united and co-	well-established	Human Rights House)	
	ordinated manner	platforms		
				- Cumulative statistics of Belarusian fundraising
	- CSOs became	- Number of	At least 40 organisations	platforms, organisations' financial reports
	more financially stable and	CSOs using alternative		
	independent	financial models		
	through	iniancial models		
	implementation of			
	alternative financial			
	models (closer			
	work with CSR			
	businesses,			
	crowdfunding,			
	development of			
	social			
	entrepreneurship, etc.)			
Engagement with si	· '	ctor "Strongthoning	 g Institutions and good governance"	
To promote social	Increased	-Number of CSOs	10 CSOs are members of public councils on	Project documentations. Media publications
justice in line with	participation of	participating in	the national and regional level (2018)	Troject documentations. Wedia publications
Jastice III IIIIe With	participation of	participating in	the hational and regional level (2010)	



Goals and vulnerable groups in particular to decision making processes (citzen participation in general and vulnerable groups (youth, women, rural people etc) in particular to dekept of trainings organised for empowerment of CSO and vulnerable groups (NHRAP) that are of NHRAP (realization of limites and limitementation of NHRAP (realization of limites) and limitementation of SQD and vulnerable groups (NHRAP) that are of limitementation of NHRAP (realization of limites) and limitementation of SQD and vulnerable groups (SWHAP) that are of limitementation of NHRAP (realization of limites) and sights Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review vulnerable groups, recommendations.	Sustainable Development	CSOs and initiative groups in general	different public councils;		
To empower citizen participation in general and vulnerable groups (youth, women, rural people etc) in participatro takes part in decision making processes To promote those provisions of the National Human Rights Gulfalba and limigementation of MRAP pichat are in line with the EU Human Rights Gulfalba and limigementations. To empower citizen participation in general and vulnerable groups (2018) To promote those provisions of the National Human Rights Gulfalba and limigementation of the Illians and Universal Periodic Review recommendations. To gromotations and Universal Periodic Review recommendations. To empower citizen double and Authorities action plans/development strategies were developed (2018) 12 local development strategies were developed (2018) 13 local development strategies were developed (2018) 14 local provisions of the National Human Rights Gulfaren, gender and fight against death penalty vulnerable groups, recommendations. To promote those provisions of the National Human Rights Gulfaren, and fight against death penalty vulnerable groups, recommendations. To promote those provisions of the National Human Rights Gulfaren, and fight against death penalty vulnerable groups, recommendations. To promote those provisions of the National Human Rights Gulfaren, and fight against death penalty vulnerable groups (2018) To promote those g	· ·		councils,		
citizen participation in general and vulnerable groups (youth, women, rural people etc) in particular to take part in decision making processes To promote those provisions of the National Human Rights Action Plan (NHRAP) that are in line with the EU Human Rights Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review recommendations. To general and vulnerable groups (youth, women, rural people etc) in plans/developm ent strategies jointly designed and implemented 0 (2018) Project documentation Project documentation Project documentation Project documentation Project documentation Victorial Project documentation Victorial Project documentation Victorial Victor	C 00.0	groups in particular			
participation in general and vulnerable groups (youth, women, rural people etc) in particular to take part in decision making processes To promote those provisions of the National Human Rights (NHRAP) that are in line with the EU Human Rights Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review (Preview recommendations. Plans/developm ent strategies jointly designed and implemented O (2018) O (2018) Project documentation UN conclusions on the progress in the groups and capital punishment, none finalised (2018) UPR reports, prepared by Government Of oreseen activities related to women and gender, none finalised (2018) UPR reports, prepared by Government Shadow reports, prepared by Civil Society It foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) UPR reports, prepared by Civil Society It foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) Upr reports, prepared by Civil Society It foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) Upr reports, prepared by Civil Society It foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) Upr reports, prepared by Civil Society It foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018)	To empower	processes	Authorities	,	plans/developments strategies implementation
general and vulnerable groups (youth, women, rural people etc) in particular to take part in decision making processes To promote those provisions of the National Human Rights (NHRAP) that are in line with the EU Human Rights Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review recommendations. To general and vulnerable groups in participation, support to vulnerable groups, recommendations. ent strategies jointly designed and participation of at least 50% (11 foreseen activity concerning death penalty and capital punishment, none finalised (2018) 1 foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) 1 foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) 1 foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) 1 foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) 2 provisions of the children participation, support to vulnerable groups, recommendations. 4 project documentation 1 foreseen activity concerning death penalty and capital punishment, none finalised (2018) 2 provisions of the children participation, support to vulnerable groups, recommendations. 4 project documentation 1 foreseen activity concerning death penalty and capital punishment, none finalised (2018) 2 project documentation 1 foreseen activity concerning death penalty and capital punishment, none finalised (2018) 2 project documentation 3 project documentation 4 project documentation 4 project documentation 4 project documentation 5 project documentation 4 project documentation 5 project documentation 4 project documentation 4 project documentation 5 project documentation 5 project documentation 6 project documentation 6 project documentation 6 project documentation 6	citizen		action		
Vulnerable groups (youth, women, rural people etc) in particular to take part in decision making processes To promote those provisions of the National Human Rights Action Plan (In line with the EU Human Rights Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review ynerable groups, recommendations. Jointly designed and implemented implemented of training sorganised for empowerment of CSO and vulnerable groups To promote those provisions of the hating the provisions of the provisions of the number of trainings organised for empowerment of CSO and vulnerable groups To promote those provisions of the provisions o	participation in		plans/developm		
(youth, women, rural people etc) in particular to take part in decision making processes making processes To promote those provisions of the National Human Rights Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review recommendations. To promote those provisions of the NHRAP points related to citizen Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review recommendations. To promote those provisions of the NHRAP proints related to citizen Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review recommendations. To promote those provisions of the NHRAP proints related to citizen Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review recommendations. To promote those provisions of the NHRAP project documentation of trainings organised for empowerment of trainings organised for empowerment of CSO and vulnerable groups To promote those provisions of the Neal table proups, recommendations. To promote those provisions of the least 50 % (11 out of 22) and capital punishment, none finalised (2018) 1 foreseen activities related to women and gender, none finalised (2018) 1 foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) 1 foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) 1 foreseen activity concerning detainees	general and		ent strategies		
rural people etc) in particular to take part in decision making processes To promote those provisions of the National Human Rights Guidelines and Universal Periodic In line with the EU Human Rights Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review vinceral periodic Review versument of support to vulnerable groups, recommendations. Implemented (0 (2018) Implementation (1 (2018) Implementation (2 (2 (2018) Implementation (2	vulnerable groups		jointly designed		
particular to take part in decision making processes raining processes To promote those provisions of the National Human Rights Guidelines and Universal Periodic Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review vincerolines (accommendations.) Fight against death penalty of trainings organised for empowerment of CSO and vulnerable groups. Realization of at least 50 % (11 optocated planned for children, gender and fight against death penalty of children, gender and fight against death penalty of commendations. Realization of at least 50 % (11 optocated planned for children, gender and fight against death penalty of children, gender and fight against death penalty of the participation, support to vulnerable groups, recommendations. Realization of at least 50 % (11 optocated planned for children, gender and fight against death penalty of the participation, support to vulnerable groups, recommendations. Realization of at least 50 % (11 optocated planned for children, gender and fight against death penalty of the participation, support to vulnerable groups, recommendations. Realization of at least 50 % (11 optocated planned for children, gender and fight against death penalty of the participation, support to vulnerable groups, recommendations. Realization of at least 50 % (11 optocated planned for children, gender and fight against death penalty of the participation, support to vulnerable groups, recommendations. Realization of at least 50 % (11 optocated planned provisions on the progress and capital punishment, none finalised (2018) UPR reports, prepared by Givil Society optocated to children, none finalised (2018) Shadow reports, prepared by Civil Society optocated to children, none finalised (2018) It foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018)	(youth, women,		and		
part in decision making processes - Number of trainings organised for empowerment of CSO and vulnerable groups To promote those provisions of the National Human (NHRAP) that are in line with the EU Human Rights Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review vrices mendations. To promote those provisions of the National Human Rights (Suidelines and Universal Periodic Review vrices) To promote those provisions of the National Human Rights (Suidelines and Universal Periodic Review vrices) To promote those provisions of the National Human Rights (Suidelines and Universal Periodic Review vrices) To promote those provisions of the least 50 % (11 out of 22) and capital punishment, none finalised (2018) UN conclusions on the progress - Number of trainings organised for empowerment of CSO and vulnerable groups - Number of trainings organised for empowerment of CSO and vulnerable groups - Number of trainings organised for empowerment of CSO and vulnerable groups - Number of trainings organised for empowerment of CSO and vulnerable groups - Number of trainings organised for empowerment of CSO and vulnerable groups - Number of trainings organised for empowerment of CSO and vulnerable groups - Number of trainings organised for empowerment of CSO and vulnerable groups - Number of trainings organised (2018) - Number of trainings or	rural people etc) in		implemented		
making processes I have a substituting a sorganised for empowerment of CSO and vulnerable groups To promote those provisions of the National Human Rights Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review vulnerable groups, recommendations. I humber of trainings organised for empowerment of CSO and vulnerable groups Realization of at least 50 % (11 and capital punishment, none finalised (2018) I foreseen activity concerning death penalty and capital punishment, none finalised (2018) UPR reports, prepared by Government of Orthidren, gender and fight against death participation, onne finalised (2018) I foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) I foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) I foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018)	•			0 (2018)	Project documentation
trainings organised for empowerment of CSO and vulnerable groups To promote those provisions of the National Human (NHRAP) that are in line with the EU Human Rights Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review vulnerable groups, recommendations. fight against death of CSO and vulnerable groups vulnerable groups, recommendations. fight against death vulnerable groups organised for empowerment of CSO and vulnerable groups organised for empowerment of CSO and vulnerable groups organised for empowerment of CSO and vulnerable groups organised for empowerment of Loroseen activity concerning death penalty and capital punishment, none finalised (2018) 1 foreseen activities related to women and gender, none finalised (2018) 10 foreseen activities related to women and gender, none finalised (2018) 11 foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) 11 foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018)	•				
organised for empowerment of CSO and vulnerable groups To promote those provisions of the National Human (NHRAP) that are in line with the EU Human Rights related to citizen Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review vulnerable groups, recommendations. Organised for empowerment of compowerment of comp	making processes				
To promote those provisions of the National Human (NHRAP) that are in line with the EU Human Rights Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review vulnerable groups, recommendations. Clear progress in the groups Realization of at least 50 % (11 le			•		
of CSO and vulnerable groups To promote those provisions of the National Human (NHRAP) that are in line with the EU Human Rights Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review vulnerable groups, recommendations. Of CSO and vulnerable groups Realization of at least 50 % (11 out of 22) (2018) I foreseen activity concerning death penalty and capital punishment, none finalised (2018) UN conclusions on the progress UPR reports, prepared by Government Universal Periodic Review vulnerable groups, recommendations. Of CSO and vulnerable groups Realization of at least 50 % (11 out of 22) (2018) I foreseen activities related to women and gender, none finalised (2018) I foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) I foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) I foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) I foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) I foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) I foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018)			•		
vulnerable groups To promote those provisions of the National Human Rights Action Plan in line with the EU Human Rights Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review recommendations. Vulnerable groups Realization of at least 50 % (11 and capital punishment, none finalised (2018) Realization of at least 50 % (11 and capital punishment, none finalised (2018) VUN conclusions on the progress Unv conclusions on the progress			•		
To promote those provisions of the National Human Rights Action Plan in line with the EU Human Rights Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review vulnerable groups, recommendations. fight against death penalty voicing singular and spiral services of a selection of at least 50 with the selection of at least 5					
To promote those provisions of the provisions of the National Human Rights Action Plan (NHRAP) that are in line with the EU Human Rights Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review recommendations. Realization of at least 50 % (11 and capital punishment, none finalised (2018) I foreseen activity concerning death penalty and capital punishment, none finalised (2018) UPR reports, prepared by Government UPR reports, prepared by Civil Society UPR reports, prepared by Civil Society Shadow reports, prepared by Civil Society gender, none finalised (2018) 1 foreseen activities related to women and gender, none finalised (2018) 1 foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) 1 foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018)					
provisions of the National Human Rights Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review recommendations. The National Human implementation of implementation of implementation of implementation of out of 22) out of 22) out of 22) (2018) Least 50 % (11 out of 22) out of		al ·			
National Human Rights Action Plan (NHRAP) that are in line with the EU Human Rights Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review recommendations. National Human implementation of Out of 22) activities planned for children, gender and fight against death 10 foreseen activities related to women and gender, none finalised (2018) 11 foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) 12 vulnerable groups, fight against death 13 foreseen activity concerning detainees Count of 22 value	•			, , , ,	UN conclusions on the progress
Rights Action Plan (NHRAP) that are in line with the EU Human Rights Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review recommendations. NHRAP (realization of at least 50% planned for children, gender and fight against death of the EU death penalty related to citizen and fight against death of the EU death penalty related to citizen and fight against death of the EU death penalty related to children, none finalised (2018) NHRAP (realization of at least 50% planned for children, gender and fight against death of the EU death penalty of t	•		,	·	LIDD assessment and assessment
(NHRAP) that are in line with the EU NHRAP points related to citizen guidelines and Universal Periodic Review recommendations. Of at least 50% planned for children, gender and fight against death planned gender, none finalised (2018) 10 foreseen activities related to women and gender, none finalised (2018) 11 foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) 11 foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) 12 foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) 13 foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) 14 foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018)		•	•	(2018)	UPR reports, prepared by Government
in line with the EU Human Rights Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review recommendations. NHRAP points related to citizen and fight against death penalty 11 foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) 11 foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) 11 foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018)	_	· ·		10 foreseen activities related to warmen and	Chaday reports propored by Civil Casiaty
Human Rights related to citizen participation, support to Precommendations. related to citizen participation, sight against death penalty support to vulnerable groups, fight against death related to citizen and fight against death penalty support to none finalised (2018) 11 foreseen activities related to children, none finalised (2018) 1 foreseen activity concerning detainees			•		Shadow reports, prepared by Civil Society
Guidelines and participation, support to support to vulnerable groups, recommendations. fight against death penalty support to the first penalty support to the f		•	_	gender, none infansed (2016)	
Universal Periodic support to none finalised (2018) Review vulnerable groups, recommendations. fight against death 1 foreseen activity concerning detainees	_			11 foreseen activities related to children	
Review vulnerable groups, recommendations. fight against death 1 foreseen activity concerning detainees		•	death penalty	•	
recommendations. fight against death 1 foreseen activity concerning detainees		• •		Hone initiased (2010)	
				1 foreseen activity concerning detainees	
		F - 20211			



To promote those provisions of the National Gender Action Plan (NGAP) that are in line with the EU Human Rights Guidelines, Sustainable Development Goals (gender equality) and Sustainable Development	Clear progress in the implementation of NGAP, notably those points coinciding with EU Human Rights Guidelines and Universal Periodic Review recommendations		10 foreseen activities related to women and gender, none finalised (2018)	Progress reports, prepared by Government Shadow reports, prepared by Civil Society			
Goals.							
Engagement with civil society in priority sector "Connectivity, energy efficiency, environment and climate change"							
To promote Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), especially those related to global warming, water, energy, environment.	Increased awareness of SDGs	Number of policy of this sector dialogues held, number of policy papers published	N/a	Public policy papers published in open access.			
To promote local co-operation between CSOs and LAs in Belarus for innovative use of resources	Increased number of CSO – local authority partnerships/proje cts to promote "Energy efficiency, environment and climate change"	Number of ongoing projects	9 ongoing EU funded projects working in this thematic area (2018)	EU project database			



Promoting participation to Covenant of Mayors initiative to implement EU climate and energy objectives.	Increased number of Belarusian signatories for Covenant of Mayors	Number of signatories	41 Belarusian signatories (2018)	https://www.covenantofmayors.eu/			
Engagement with civil society in priority sector "Mobility and people-to-people contacts"							
To promote people-to-people contacts between	Increased number of Belarusian citizens	Number of Belarusian citizens and	From Belarus to EU 295 (2015-2017), from EU to Belarus 57 (2015-2017)	Erasmus+ annual records			
Belarusians and EU citizens	participating to study visit or internships, scholarships	CSOs participating in study visits or internships, scholarships	2800 (figure at the end of MOST I, 2018)	MOST II and MOST+ databases			
To promote networking	Increased number of Cross Border	Number of Cross Border	Latvia-Lithuania-Belarus programme 30 (2018)	EU project database			
between Belarusian entities and EU based	operations	operations	Poland-Belarus-Ukraine programme 10 (2018)	Latvia-Lithuania-Belarus programme http://www.eni-cbc.eu/llb/en			
entities (local authorities, organisations)				Poland-Belarus-Ukraine programme https://www.pbu2020.eu/en			